

Xavier Giocante 2 Rue Odette Jasse 13015 Marseille, France Tel+33 (0)4 91 60 6000 contact@resiliance.net

March 19, 2017

Dear Mr. Giocante,

I am contacting you in your capacity as partner of Christine Lagarde and Honorary Chair of the IMF Family Association (IMFFA), the impending financial collapse, and ramifications for Madame Lagarde as Managing Director of the IMF, as well as the IMFFA—in the belief that you might actually care what happens to Madame Lagarde, for whom I have the highest admiration and respect. Not only is the ostrich-playing of economists in the IMF setting up Madame Lagarde as the 'fall-guy' when financial markets collapse again, but the IMF HR Department, Ethics Officers, Ombudsman, Mediator and newly appointed 'Special Investigator' are setting her up for a scandal which will greatly harm her reputation in a variety of ways, which are enumerated in my present correspondence—which I sincerely hope you will read with diligence. Albert Einstein was correct in his contention that there is no difference between people who do not know how to read, and those who do not. Unfortunately, employees in the aforementioned positions and department in the IMF, have no more reading comprehension skills than American, Spanish and French public authorities and civil servants in large bureaucratic agencies—whose lack of reading comprehension is responsible for the rise of extremism in the USA and Europe.

My problems with judicial systems started a decade ago in Spain in a divorce/domestic violence case. Not only were my children's, and my, rights continually violated during all judicial proceedings in Spain (2007-12), but the American and French governments (I am a dual American/French national) are complicit, and accessories to the violation of my rights under international conventions, as well as the Spanish Constitution, and penal and civil codes. Please see a <u>Table of Infractions</u>, a synopsis of the issues under Spanish (and international law) in my correspondence to the <u>Spanish Defensor del Pueblo</u>, as well as my correspondence to the <u>American Abroad Caucus</u> enumerating the various violations. In the past decade, I have been preparing cases for international courts for violence against women as human rights violations (VAWaHRV) which challenges the defense of the American government in the 1st jurisprudence of VAWaHRV <u>Gonzales Lenahan vs. USA</u> (2011), as well as the 2nd jurisprudence <u>Gonzalez Carreno vs. Spain</u> (CEDAW, 2011) which claims "judicial error and a failure to exhaust domestic remedies.

What I demonstrate in my case is that "judicial errors" are not 'errors,' but rather intentional manipulations of misogynistic, greedy, and corrupt judicial actors, and incompetent legislative and executive branch civil servants and public authorities, whose omission of action, under their obligation to protect, is rendering them complicit to crimes against humanity. Please see my correspondence to Spanish and American authorities enumerating their obligations to act during the past decade, on the right-hand

side bar of my activism website, <a href="www.warondomesticterrorism.com">www.warondomesticterrorism.com</a>. In the few instances that I have received a response from civil servants, it was filled with gobbledygook, demonstrating the same lack of reading comprehension as found within the IMF, World Bank, and UN. My "favorite" ridiculous contention was from the Bar Association of Madrid, which contended that "it is lawyer's rights to violate the rights of their clients, under the principle of judicial independence." Extremism and violence is on the rise in countries around the world because civil servants and public authorities are flagrantly negligent in doing their jobs with a minimum of diligence and integrity—with employees in international organizations, NGOs, and universities complicit to the criminal negligent of government employees.

All of my life, I have been pushed into positions of leadership—a force I have always resisted, because as I am well aware, good leaders are only as good as those around them. And, since those around me have all too often failed to do their jobs effectively, it is up to me to do everyone's job. Unfortunately, Madame Lagarde is surrounded by wolves in sheep's clothing in the IMF who are more concerned with paychecks-n-pensions than the mandate of the IMF, as well as the reputation, and career, of Madame Lagarde. Trump is correct that "The Swamp" needs draining of all the US government officials who are doing nothing but seat-warming, and preying on the citizens they have an obligation to serve. However, "The Swamp" also needs draining of the same mafia networks in the IMF (along with the World Bank, UN, IADB, IFC, etc.), and why citizens are becoming angrier and angrier at the "Game-playing" of these predators, at the expense of taxpayers everywhere.

I am terribly concerned that Marine Le Pen, might win the French Presidential election; as the same social-conservative forces which brought Donald Trump into power, have been at work in France for decades, as well. I thoroughly vindicate Madame Lagarde in the ridiculous, guilty verdict in the Bernard Tapie affair, as nothing more than a political ploy to destroy her "character," and provide ammunition for journalists once the Crash comes, in my blog, *Christine Lagarde, Bernard Tapie, and Arbitration:*Observations of a CSO Rep at the IMF/WB Spring Meetings — Part 16, posted on May 5, 2016, as well as *Guilty Verdict of Christine Lagarde: Political Witch-hunt to Bring Her Down Before Markets Crash* posted on Dec. 20, 2016. The sex-scandal of former MD, Dominique Strauss-Kahn, was another purely political ploy by social-conservatives in the Sofitel Group, in collusion with the American propaganda press, to promote right-wing extremism, with resulting unstable economies, globally—as I explain in my blogs on Linkedin in the past year. (See attached Table of Contents.)

As Michael Lewis, author of *The Big Short*, said to Madame Lagarde in his 'One-on-One' conversation during the IMF/World Bank Annual Meetings in 2016, "usually when a woman is placed in a position of power, she is being set-up as the 'fall-guy,' which I covered in my blog *Cost of Corruption: Open Letter to Managing Director of the IMF, Christine Lagarde – V, Part 13 in the IMF/WB Annual Meeting 2016.* When markets collapse again, the collapse will be "bigger and badder" than that of 2008; followed by the Great Global Depression—necessitating Herculean efforts by Madame Lagarde, Dr. Jim Yong Kim, and Mr. Ban Ki-moon in addressing the problems caused by decades of unbridled greed, corruption, and break-down in the rule of law in the USA and Europe. In addition to Michael Lewis, Madame Lagarde should watch the documentaries of Charles Ferguson, *Inside Job*, No End in Sight, as well as read my blogs to Madame Lagarde, Jim Yong Kim, and Ban Ki-Moon, in the days preceding the US Elections.

However, as well as consulting with Lewis when the financial markets collapse once again, Madame Lagarde **may wish to consult with me**. Not only have I been following erratic financial markets and banking systems in the USA and Europe since the '85, but I successfully predicted the '08 collapse in '07 (during a simple examination of real estate bubbles, and erratic currency fluctuations in South America

and Europe in prior decades). This time around, I have been working around the clock for the past decade studying all the reports and books of 'experts' in economics, international human rights law, politics, psychology, sociology, anthropology, and history. And, my motivation has NOT been a desire to 'grapple up the ladder of success,' cash in on six-figure paychecks and pensions, or secure a book deal; but rather, because I did not bring my children into this world to end in a blood-bath of violence, and/or a destroyed planet. I am well aware of the fact I am not "popular" amongst the status quo, due to the fact that I am challenging all of the 'empty-rhetoric' of those in Power, and they do not want me "raining on their parade"—a parade that is costing taxpayers billions, and even trillions, to maintain. I know exactly what Martin Luther King meant when he said "courage is not lack of fear, but being afraid, and going forward none-the-less."

About 70-90% of HR personnel in the development community need to be investigated for malfeasance, greed, criminal negligence, and discrimination. Not only are these people concentrating power in the hands of minorities and those with strictly academic backgrounds with affirmative action programs disguised as "diversity" efforts; but they are also promoting bullying and abuses of power, with 'window-dressing' initiatives (workshops, retreats, conferences, etc.), as well as covering-up for abuses of power of workers. Apart from my own two cases in the IMF (Family Association (IMFFA) and Institute for Capacity Development (ICD)), the other most disgusting example that I am aware of in the development community, is that of Phyliss Chelser within the UN, who not only was the victim of intellectual property fraud, but was also raped by one of the perpetrators—as explained in my blog *Open Letter to Bill Maher: The House of Cards Built by the Phools is Going to Crash-n-Burn*, in which I quote Laura Miller's book review of *Woman's Inhumanity to Woman*, by Chesler in <u>Backstabbers</u>,

...Similar scenarios crop up again and again in Chesler's account of her life and those of her friends. She devotes several pages to a complex dispute with a woman she calls Inge (not her real name). Chesler had been working as a consultant for the United Nations and organized an international feminist conference in 1980, with the plan of publishing the proceedings along with her own introduction. She invited Inge to the meeting. Sometime before the conference, the man who hired Chesler, a foreign diplomat, began to sexually harass Chesler and then raped her in her home.

When Chesler attempted to get the attendees of the conference to join her in confronting the culprit, who was black, Inge managed to convince the women that this would be perceived as racist. Not long afterwards, Inge somehow collaborated with this man to publish the proceedings of Chesler's conference herself, with her own introduction, effectively taking credit for Chesler's work. "Why did she need to usurp my place?" Chesler laments.

Chesler organized a "private, feminist mini-tribunal" to address her grievance with the "charismatic and immoral monster" Inge, but despite the participation of four other (unnamed) feminist leaders, it didn't amount to much. "None of us were able to live up to our rhetoric or to face our failure to do so," she writes. As for herself, she has only just now come to see that "Inge simply viewed herself as my competitor for a highly limited, much prized resource and did what millions of women do to each other in similar circumstances." In other words, instead of competing openly — another taboo — many women, "even ideologues," engage in surreptitious and "unethical" skullduggery in order to get what they want without seeming to fight for it.

Chesler later learned that, as she suspected, Inge had deployed another classic technique of covert feminine hostility by bad-mouthing Chesler behind her back to their feminist friends. Chesler sees Inge as embodying one of the most noxious of traditional female propensities — the desire to sabotage and undermine exceptional women. "She is the kind of woman who feels cheated ... She experiences excellence in others as a form of persecution." In groups, this can take the form of punishing talented and effective members for making the rest of the members feel inadequate. Of course, this is not a great idea if your group actually wants to accomplish something in the world, as early feminists initially did. Chesler watched much of the movement descend into poisonous infighting and "navel-gazing."...

Intellectual property theft, bullying and abuses of power of all sorts are common place, and even considered "normal" within the development and academic community, and promoted and encouraged by HR personnel—and responsible for the supposed "gaps" of knowledge of the 'experts.' After my illegal and wrongful termination from the IMF Family Association (IMFFA) by the Chair Anne-Beatrix Keller Semadeni (after my 2-year tenure there, in 2011), I was employed in administrative positions throughout the Fund until my wrongful termination in 2015 by the incompetent Office Manager of the Institute for Capacity Development (ICD), Adrianne Thapa—whose claim to fame was at one time being able to type 75wpm, but a disaster as an Office Manager. Not only did Ms. Thapa terminate me because of my ability to do her job, much, much more competently than she (along with many other jobs in ICD), but because of my activism work, which challenges the bullying networks, and mafias within the IMF, World Bank, UN, as well as American and Spanish (and ALL) government agencies. Please see my blogs *The Right of Passage and Right to Protest: Dead and Buried in Our Nation's Capitol* which explains the altercation which made me the target of Ms. Thapa's bullying and my resulting wrongful termination, as well as my blogs, *Bullying in the Workplace and its Consequences* and *Lessons Learned in Our Nation's Capitol and Global Governance*).

Everyone with the development community, and government agencies are so singularly concerned with 'grappling-up-the-ladder-of-success,' with too many chefs spoiling the soup, and old-timer, nepotistic, administrative staff more concerned with seat-warming and ruling the roost; that NO ONE is doing their jobs with even a minimum of diligence and integrity. The administrative function is given NO importance in the Fund (or elsewhere), and old guard civil servants, are creating havoc in the organizations in which they work—and one of the reason IMF economists are continually failing to fulfill its mandate. During my 7-year tenure in the Fund, I read all the reports that economists in the IMF and World Bank should be reading and understanding, but who are not. Taxpayers around the world are paying billions of dollars and euros each year for IMF, World Bank, UN employees to violate not only the rights of fellow workers, as well as perpetuate this culture in countries around the world—and one of the principle reasons extremism, terrorism, and violence in general is escalating everywhere. Instead of tightening security in IMF offices in response to the bomb sent to the IMF office in Paris last week, the Fund should take a hard look at WHY extremism groups are targeting them. While I have, and will continue to denounce violence in all its forms, and from ALL sources, policy-makers must wake-up to the underlying reasons that violence is escalating—rather than continually discount out-burst of violence as "nothing more than the work of madmen."

While I lack the training in econometrics to be an economist, I have demonstrated time and again, my brilliance in understanding theory as well as practice in international economics (amongst other domains)—starting with my course in international economics in '85 at George Washington University (GWU), with professor Robert Dunn, Jr. When I signed up for the course, a friend told me to do a 'drop-

add' because even the econ. majors failed the course at least once. However, it was the only course which fit into my work schedule, as I was paying my way through college (rather than accumulating massive debt on student loans). Not only did I receive a 98% (which I still contend should have been 99%) on the mid-term exam, but I successfully tutored my class-mates who not only passed the final, but also the course. In tutoring my class-mates I told them "forget everything Robert Dunn has said, he complicates his subject matter more than necessary." Another course where my grade was "off the charts" was religious philosophy—and while my teachers did not often agree with my contentions, they recognized that my argumentation, and documentation of evidence was—IMPECCIBLE (at 14 years old). I can assure you, I am not the 'stupid-trophy wife' everyone contends.

At present, I am in 'déjà vue' mode with economists within the IMF and World Bank (and policy-makers in UN Women), who contrary to my class-mates of decades ago, who if did not "figure it out" got "fired;" rather than just collecting paychecks and pensions, and the world be Damned. Not only is head of Research in the IMF, Olivier Blanchard, wrong in his contention (2010, Intranet blog) that the financial collapse was an "isolated incident" rather than part of a trend of increasingly high-risk investments by bankers and financiers. But, David Lipton, who falsely claims to have "fixed" the banking system in the '90s under the Clinton Administration, is wrong in his contention (during the IMF/WB Spring Meetings 2016) that "corruption is not everywhere," (see the Table of Content of my blogs in the past year covering the IMF/WB Spring and Annual Meetings 2016), and particularly my blogs Kings & Queens for a Day, and Their House of Cards: Observations of a CSO Rep at the IMF/WB Spring Meetings – Part 17 and 'Hanky-panky' of BBVA & Santander in Latin America in the '90s: Observations of a CSO Rep at IMF/WB Spring Mtgs – Part 30.)

Additionally problematic, is the ostrich-playing of Sharmini Coorey, Director of the IMF's Institute for Capacity Development (where I was employed for 4-years) as to the internal management problems within her department, and how it is preventing economists from effectively doing their jobs. Furthermore, ICD's training and technical assistance programs, instead of enabling the IMF to fulfill its mandate, is perpetuating the dysfunctional socio-economic 'Fractured Fairytale American Dream' to societies around the world, and why economies are becoming increasingly unstable, with extremism and terrorism increasing proportionately.

One of the jobs I have applied for in the IMF, but for which I have not even been accorded an interview, is Results-Based Management (RBM) Project Officer in ICD's Strategy and Evaluation Division (ICDSE). I was employed in ICDSE from September to November 2015 (attending all of the Committee on Capacity Building (CCB) and pre-CCB Meetings with great concern for the lack of insight of economists and IMF department heads in the meetings). I would be interested to learn what ICDSE's Project Officer is examining, as she or he, should be examining the issues I covered in the IMF/WB Spring and Annual Meetings 2016, as well as asking the question I asked Madame Lagarde at the Town Hall following the IMF/WB Annual Meeting 2015, and I quote,

One of the greatest factors "disempowering women" is the failure of governments to protect victims of domestic violence, with victims being revictimized at rates of 70% in courts around the world.

Another key problem is that progressive laws in the West are not being effectively implemented – further disempowering women.

One of the main issues has been conflict of interest between donors, NGOs, and victim's rights. Another problem has been the lack of social inclusion of victims, as well as a failure to develop mass constituencies on women's private rights issues.

In order to develop solutions, victims & civil societies need to be empowered. Has the IMF looked at issues women are facing in the courts, how and why they are being dis-empowered, and what actions the IMF might take to address the issues?

Additionally, right before my wrongful termination from the IMF, I was seeking assistance from economists to participate in the Big Data Challenge contest Madame Lagarde had launched in October 2015. The 'gaps' of knowledge of gender 'experts' in the IMF and World Bank could easily be filled by 'mining' information provided in social media campaigns of women, victims of domestic violence and legal abuse, and other related issues. Please see my letter to Ms. Coorey in November 2015, as well as my ensuing complaint to the IMF HR department in <a href="Work-place Bullying in the IMF">Work-place Bullying in the IMF</a>. The IMF has a unique opportunity to develop solutions to THE greatest problem government agencies face in transforming progressive rhetoric to reality—seat-warming, bullying, and ostrich-playing—but instead are demonstrating how, and why employees are perpetuating the problems with their "game-playing."

The contention that IMF, World Bank, UN (etc.) staff are "immune" from sanctions for their abuses of power and illegal activity, is rendering them illegitimate under their mandates—in the same manner that "democratic" governments are illegitimate in their failure to uphold Constitutions and the Rule of Law. Not only do I have ample experience dealing with seat-warming, and incompetent civil servants and public authorities within the US State Department, White House, and US Congress in "The Swamp" in the past decade (on top of Consular agents during my 20 years abroad). But my market research in the late '90s for international trade divisions of Global Expats, showed that the greatest challenge was not in production or distribution of products, but rather dealing with bureaucratic civil servants in government agencies. The damage being done to societies and economies by seat-warming, game-playing civil servants, and ostrich-playing public authorities cannot be stressed enough. If IMF economists were truly concerned with fulfilling their mandate they would assure that not only their own staff were beyond reproach, but that capacity building efforts assured transparency, accountability, and integrity of civil servants in government agencies—as opposed to more empty-rhetoric, and more seat-warming jobs.

The culture of corruption in the Fund, is high-lighted in the recent sentencing of former IMF MD, Rodrigo Rato, as his 'shenanigans' are 'standard fare' in the IMF (and elsewhere). The former Senior Personnel Manager (SPM) of ICD, Gina Paone, instead of being given a promotion in the Fund, should be investigated for the same type of 'shenanigans.' The belief of civil servants that they are above the law, and enjoy total immunity from any, and all abuses of power, and criminality, is rendering the organizations in which they work criminally negligent—and complicit to crimes against humanity. As I have thoroughly documented in the past decade, the negligence and malfeasance of civil servants are not perpetrators of 'victimless' crimes, but rather responsible for the rise of the 4<sup>th</sup> Reich in Washington (and Europe). As Lead Counsel of the IMF, Sean Hagan pointed out in the IMF/WB Spring Meeting, 2016, the only way to effectively change the culture of corruption in large bureaucratic agencies is to fire everyone from top to bottom—and the IMF is no exception.

As I told one economist in ICD's Global Partnership division, (ICDGP), Holger Floerkemeier, I know many people around the world and would be happy to assist ICD in procuring funding for capacity building initiatives—as I whole-heartedly am committed to the mission of the IMF. However, after my

coverage of the IMF/WB Spring and Annual Meetings in 2016—I must first insist that ICD's technical assistance and training programs, address the problems rampant negligence and corruption in government agencies, first. In response to my question at the Town Hall in 2015, Madame Lagarde said that my question was more appropriate for the World Bank. And, while I agree, and have been trying to build coalitions within the World Bank (particularly interested in their Women, Business and Law initiatives), I continue to insist that the issues I have been examining are equally applicable to the IMF (and UN).

Please see my analysis of Turkey, in the past decade, in my blog, *Open Letter to Special 'Ethics' Investigator at the IMF Sabina Blaskovic – Part 6* in which I provide a case study of how capacity building efforts which include financial inclusion, along with alpha/dominance 'gender-equality' in 'developing' countries is contributing to real-estate bubbles, excessive consumerism, unstable economies, and political instability. Not only was the Director of ICDGP, Mark Lewis, Resident Rep in Turkey from 2010 to 2014, but he was also Mission Chief for Cyprus in 2014, with his report to Management demonstrating the same trends in Cyprus as in Turkey—with my points highlighted in the Cyprus Mail article, Our View: Cyprus' economic crash was much more than 'a crisis in confidence'. The common belief of economists, and policy-makers, in the IMF, as well as think tanks in "The Swamp" that the 'Fractured Fairytale, American Dream' should be exported and encouraged in 'developing' countries is an extremely faulty one. Countries around the world should be learning from the mistakes in the USA (and Europe) instead of following the same dysfunctional trajectory. Please see my report FfD: A Midsummer Night's Dream, which provides an exhaustive analysis of the issues.

I realize that, as one activist recently told me, I am a "4-star General" in my 'War for Peace,' and most people simply cannot "follow," the multitude of issues I have examined. However, as I pointed out, it is time that all the Big-wig 'experts' with fancy titles and diplomas in the IMF, World Bank, UN, think tanks, universities, etc., with six-figure salaries and awards after awards, start "following" me, or leave "The Game" for which they are being handsomely paid to "play." In fact, it is the division chiefs in ICD, along with the IMF Staff Association (IMFSA, who never responded to my email to them in Nov. 2015), that should be challenging the HR and managerial problems in ICD—rather than the lowly, floater secretary, that everyone, instead of looking down their noses at, should be listening to. Good, and effective leadership, necessitates the ability to listen, as well as give instructions and lead. The power-house restaurant, where I am currently employed as a hostess, is another perfect example of how placing women in power does not promote "gender-equality," but rather oppressive regimes. While management in the restaurant openly admits that they are a 'dictatorship,' the Afro-American female, manager is a true Hitler, and suffers greatly from 'Two Faces of Eve' syndrome.

Just like the IMF HR department, which has not even accorded me an interview for jobs which I am THE most highly qualified candidate, think tanks and NGOs, are playing the same PhD/MBA nepotism games with me (see my job applications posted on Workplace Bullying in the IMF) and why managers in professions across the board are complaining about the inability to find competent workers. The attitude amongst feminists that anyone who 'opted-out' of "The Game" to raise families, should be 'ashamed' of themselves, and 'punished' for being a 'traitor' to "The Cause," has been so ingrained into the rhetoric and mentality of societies, and have in effect rendered these women totally unemployable upon divorce or widowhood (as well as slaves within the marriage). As one friend of mine in a similar situation as my own said "I wanted to have children, I guess I am paying the price now." During my divorce my exhusband promised me that I would be obligated to prostitute myself in order to survive, if I left him—claiming that everything had been "planned." At the time, I thought his ranting-n-ravings were only manifestations of his psychopathologies, but have since learned from personal experience, and my

research into the feminist movement and patriarchal rights movements of the past 50 years, that this is the reality of women who have left the work-force for prolonged periods of time. The misogynistic rhetoric of the feminists, who have reinforced the idea that homemakers and wives are nothing more than parasites on society is totally false. It is in fact the feminists, along with HR personnel (replacing upper, and upper-middle class, trophy-wives) and NGOs (replacing the clergy) who are the true parasites on societies in the present paradigm.

The only thing feminists care about, are their careers, the next book-deal, and cashing in on 'pay-checks-n-pensions' or creating another 'window-dressing' Foundation—and why Hillary Clinton lost her bid for Presidency. Amongst the most shameless and grievous 'women's' NGO's in "The Swamp" at present, are the Women's National Democratic Club (WNDC) and its President, Nucchi Currier, 'New America' under Anne-Marie Slaughter, Melanne Verveer's various initiatives, the Feminist Majority Foundation (FMF), Women's eNews, National Organization of Women (NOW) and National Coalition Against Domestic Violence (NCADV). All of these organizations are nothing other than 'window-dressing' filled with cheerleaders espousing propaganda and lies—and cashing in on development money to pay for cushy, seat-warming jobs. For 4 years, I have been blogging about the same issues Anne-Marie Slaughter (in her two latest books) and New America's blogs are saying, but instead of a budget of \$25 million and 150 employees, I have been ostracized, marginalized and belittle and berated by those in "The Game." In my blogs and reports posted on <a href="https://www.warondomesticterrorism.com">www.warondomesticterrorism.com</a>, I have produced the rhetoric and political platform the feminists contend they need, but as their inertia, and ostracism, show, is nothing more than their bad-faith, and desire to maintain the *status quo* of oppressive regimes.

Please see the content of recent emails between myself and <u>Women's eNews</u> below, which I sent, when I read their coverage of the Women's March, which was a waste of time and money—demonstrating to what extent the only thing journalists and feminists are concerned with is, "getting themselves published," and keeping themselves in the lime-light. I have been sending Women's eNews results of my research (along with many other journalists in the USA and Europe), for the past 7 years, for FREE; requesting they expose the rampant corruption and revictimization of victims in family courts. I have handed them all the research they SHOULD BE DOING themselves, on a "silver-platter" for NO CHARGE, or strings attached. However, NO ONE is concerned with learning about or publishing "The Truth," and is why "Fake News" is such a problem in the Press at present. The negligence of Women's eNews is no different from the inaction of former President Graham Spanier, and former Athletic Directors Tim Curly and Gary Schultz, of Penn State, in the Jerry Sandusky sex scandal, or the cover-up by the Catholic Church of pedophile priests—and these women should be held criminally accountable, just like their male counterparts. What EVERYONE in "The Swamp" fails to understand is that with position, and power, comes responsibility.

```
from: Quenby Wilcox <quenbywilcox2@gmail.com>
to: lori@womensenews.org,
angie@womensenews.org,
juhie@womensenews.org
date: Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 3:45 AM
subject: If Women's eNews Had Been Covering the REALITY of Women & Children in USA for Past 8 Years Trump Would Not Have Won
to lori, angie, juhie
```

I have been lobbying Women's eNews for 8 years to cover the TRUTH. See Table of Contents of my research and writings below..... [including my Table of Contents in the body of email]

from: Lori Sokol <lori@womensenews.org>

to: Quenby Wilcox <quenbywilcox2@gmail.com>

date: Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 6:11 AM

subject: Re: If Women's eNews Had Been Covering the REALITY of Women & Children in USA

for Past 8 Years Trump Would Not Have Won

signed-by: womensenews-org.20150623.gappssmtp.com

## Quenby,

Thank you for your email. While we welcome contributions from experienced writers, blaming Women's eNews for the election of Donald Trump is not only irresponsible, but also does not aid your effort to get your work published. May I recommend an alternate proposal that does not blame the news service you are querying!

Lori Sokol, Ph.D.
Executive Director
Women's eNews
163 Amsterdam Ave., #1330
New York, NY 10023
P: 973-769-7012
Lori@womensenews.org
@LoriSokolPhD

Women's eNews

Twitter: http://www.twitter.com/Womens\_eNews Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/WomenseNews

Teen Voices at Women's eNews

Twitter: https://twitter.com/teenvoices

Facebook: <a href="https://www.facebook.com/teenvoices">https://www.facebook.com/teenvoices</a>

from: Quenby Wilcox <quenbywilcox2@gmail.com>

to: Lori Sokol <lori@womensenews.org>

date: Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 9:15 AM

subject: Re: If Women's eNews Had Been Covering the REALITY of Women & Children in USA for Past 8 Years Trump Would Not Have Won

## Dear Lori,

I do not hold Women's eNews solely responsible. It is the entire American press who have been negligent in covering the News for decades. One of the advantages of living abroad for so long, is that I saw how bias the American press has always been.

I have been sending information to Women's eNews for the past 8 years. What is going on in family courts, cover-up for sexual and physical abuse, is worse that the cover-up of pedophilia in

the Catholic Church, because it is much more extensive and victims are living with their abusers. Systems are infected with pedophile rings, and news outlets are covering it up. Trump won with a promise to "drain the Swamp" because it desperately needs "draining." Check out my complaint against the <a href="IMF">IMF</a> for workplace bullying, and the cover-up for the chaos and havoc it is wreaking for economists. <a href="DC">DC</a> Landlord/tenant court (and Arlington see my blogs "Right to Truth, Obligation to Protect, and Universal Jurisdiction") are playing the same games. Journalist should be covering all of the bureaucratic corruption in "The Swamp" instead of the dribble that was 'covered' during the elections.

I do not just blame Women's eNews, I have 'smoking-gun' cases of cover-up with 20/20, Abbie Boudreau and Diane Sawyers' coverage years ago when Japan signed the Hague Convention on intl. child abduction. The USA wanted Japan to sign so 20/20 covered the "left behind" father angle. In ~70% of case "left behind" fathers are abusers chasing victims, and using corrupt courts, and misogynistic, greedy, predatory and malicious litigation to harass victims. NGOs like DV LEAP with Joan Meier need to be investigated for negligence and ostrich-playing. I have another 'smoking-gun' case against the Wall Street Journal and Debbie Bruno of the Expat section.

I even have a 'smoking-gun' case against media outlets a year ago when Sean Penn was bashed in the press for his "El Chapo Speaks" article. The mediators did not "like" my comments so they deleted them. These are freedom of speech issues, during the US Presidential elections, yet none of the journalists are outraged? See the blogs I did for Penn below. If all the news media outlets had been covering the issues I raise in my blogs at the beginning of 2016, the out-come might have been very different. However, it appears that all is not lost, and there is hope. Rex Tillerson, is actually a good choice for Sec. of State, and Michael Flynn is a good candidate for Nat. Sec. Advisor see my letters to John McCain. News media should be pressuring these guys, and asking these guys the questions I am.

Regards, Quenby

from: Lori Sokol <lori@womensenews.org>

to: Quenby Wilcox <quenbywilcox2@gmail.com>

date: Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 9:24 AM

subject: Re: If Women's eNews Had Been Covering the REALITY of Women & Children in USA for Past 8 Years Trump Would Not Have Won

I respectfully request that you do not contact Women's eNews again, or at least not as long as I'm Executive Director.

Thank you.

Lori Sokol, Ph.D. Executive Director

from: Quenby Wilcox <quenbywilcox2@gmail.com>

to: rita@womensenews.org

date: Sun, Feb 26, 2017 at 5:16 PM

subject: Fwd: If Women's eNews Had Been

Covering the REALITY of Women & Children in USA for Past 8 Years
Trump Would Not Have Won

Dear Rita,

Per my Linkedin message. The ostrich-playing of Women's e News is even more shameful than other media outlets. Women and children in the USA are being used as pawns in the "grapple-up-the-ladder of success." It is truly criminal the number of journalists in the world today who are covering up for elevated levels of corruption. The USA is facing the New Jim Crow, and 4th Reich, all the 'window-dressing' orgs will be faced with violent mobs, if not accountability from judicial systems. See my letter to Jeff Sessions. Hopefully he will take some action. The attitude of Lori Sokol that if she doesn't like what I have to say, she will just ignore me, is typical of women, and why for centuries women were kept out of the remunerated work-force.

I am still looking for a human rights lawyer with the BALLS to submit the cases to intl. courts challenging the defense of the American govt. for violence against women as human rights violations in the 1st jurisprudence (Gonzales Lenahan vs. USA, 2011) as well as the 2nd jurisprudence (Gonzalez Carreno vs. Spain, 2014). See my report FfD: A Midsummer Nights Dream. Once these cases are submitted, all of the orgs (journalism and others) who have been covering-up for all of the rampant human rights violations of women and children in court systems, and the pedophile networks that are controlling them will be looking at criminal prosecution, with Women's eNews, and its employees amongst the many facing criminal negligence charges. Instead of Sokol investigating the problems, she writes me a snippy note that I should not contact her again. If Women's eNews had any integrity they would fire Lori Sokol and look for someone who is concerned with women's issues, rather than just getting herself published.

What bothers Lori Sokol, and ALL of the other NGOs covering-up for elevated levels of criminality, is that she knows I speak the truth. However, instead of exposing the truth, she prefers to play-ostrich. The idea of everyone in non-profit orgs. that they are "doing good" and therefore not responsible for their negligence is NOT a justifiable defense in the eyes of the law.

Regards,

Quenby Wilcox

In a recent interview with Richard Quest, <u>Lagarde to world leaders: Practice what you Preach</u>, Madame Lagarde stated "To all those who have declared themselves feminists -- and I applaud them and I continue to applaud them -- let's make sure that we hold them to account and that they actually demonstrate what they preach...[with her] primary concern [] that the rhetoric does not translate into practice." Madame Lagarde does not understand why rhetoric is not being translated to reality, because the feminists are one of THE MAJOR PROBLEMS. If Madame Lagarde would read my report <u>FfD: A Midsummer Night's</u>

Dream, Family Courts in Crisis, Bullying in the Workplace at the IMF, correspondence to American public authorities in the White House, Congress, and State Department in the past decade, as well as correspondence to the newly elected <a href="Trump Administration">Trump Administration</a> (posted on my activism website, <a href="www.warondomesticterrorism.com">www.warondomesticterrorism.com</a>) as well as <a href="my blogs">my blogs</a> of the past year on Linkedin; she would understand, not only why "rhetoric is not translating into reality," but also what needs to be done so that it does translate into reality. As Ms. Slaughter explains in her book \*Unfinished Business: Women Men Work Family\*, one of the most important elements in being effective and efficient, is not how many hours one sits at a desk, but rather how organized one is. The havoc and chaos that the IMF's HR Department is perpetuating in the Fund, is one of the main reasons economists are not more effective in their jobs.

Additionally, when financial markets collapse once again, Madame Lagarde will need to listen to her own words in her Bloomberg interview *Talks Money Laundering, Turkish Coup Attempt and Brexit*, and the failure of the Fund to effectively use its resources to combat the problems she highlights. During my 7-year tenure as a "floater" secretary in all the various department (with particular interest in the Financial Integrity Group as well as ICD), I learned to what extent the IMF has the resources to address the problems which plague banking systems, and financial markets, as well as to what extent they are failing to do so. My ex-husband is one of the 'crash-n-burn' bankers who is responsible for erratic financial systems, and who crashed-n-burned as Directory of the Treasury Department of Banco Ganadero in Columbia, subsidiary of his employer Spanish bank, Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria (BBVA). And, since everyone in "The Game" has been exploiting and dis-empowering me for the past 9 years, he has been at liberty to money-launder his family's human trafficking business in Spain, and torture and abuse my sons with me powerless to protect them, implicating those in "The Game" as accessories to the various crimes of my ex-husband and his family.

Not only am I familiar with the elevated level of negligence and corruption in banks, but also the immorality of those in power, and their wives. Not only did I "catch" the wives of BBVA expats in Colombia playing the same games as Rodrigo Rato, with funds from the Spanish 'Expat Wives Club,' but my body-guard told me that when he happened upon a discussion of BBVA body-guards as to who worked for the most 'Señora,' everyone fell silent when he interjected "Mine. No contest!" As I said when Spanish lawyers were defrauding me of all of my assets, with their witch-hunt tactics during my divorce, "I am not a Señora, for the car I drive, the house in which I live, or the clothes I wear, but rather who I am, and what I have done with my life." The idea that just because someone wears nice clothes, or possess a fancy title, makes them 'respectable' or 'honorable' is an extremely faulty assumption. The quantity of wolves in sheep clothing in "The Swamp" is of crisis proportions, but can be quickly exposed if one scratches beneath the surface.

In 2005-06 when I came up with the idea for Global Expats, in my market research, the website model I was developing (cross between Tripadvisor.com, Yelp.com, facebook.com, and Linkedin.com) showed advertising revenue potential of \$1Billion/year. (Please see my Business Plan and presentation for Global Expats.) And, effectively these models are generating these revenues—noting that my target market is a consumer market of \$7-8 Trillion/year, as opposed to TripAdvisor.com of \$1-2 Trillion/year. I have repeatedly told implicated actors, particularly the Managing Directors of Cuatrecasas in Spain, that I would be willing to accept a settlement of ~\$100M in damages, get Global Expats up and moving, and liberate my children. However, management of Cuatrecasas is determined to cover-up for the criminal negligence of Jorge Capell, face a public scandal, as well litigation with billions of euros in damages; along with the Spanish and American government, on top of Global Employment who was my employer

during my tenure with the IMFFA—and as such had a legal obligation to defend the violation of my rights by Ms. Keller in 2011.

At present my case against the Spanish government, challenges their defense in the 2<sup>nd</sup> jurisprudence of VAW as human rights violations, *Gonzalez Carreno vs. Spain*, CEDAW, 2014, which claimed "inadmissibility due to judicial error and a failure to exhaust domestic remedies" is "parked" in the pro bono departments of the law firms <a href="Patton">Patton</a>, <a href="Boggs and Blow">Boggs and Blow</a>, <a href="Steptoe & Johnson">Steptoe & Johnson</a> in Washington, and Baltasar Garzon's firm, International Legal Office for Cooperation for Development (<a href="ILOCAD">ILOCAD</a>). As <a href="Wendy Murphy">Wendy Murphy</a> (legal analyst on FoxNews and author) continually points out, the women's right and domestic violence movements needs jurisprudence, instead of empty promises and false rhetoric, but NO ONE is willing to "step up to the plate," and submit the paperwork, even though they all agree my argumentation is impeccable and brilliant.

When IMF staff (and Joseph Stiglitz) realize that Ms. Keller has implicated them in litigation, with personal financial damages of \$10 billion (and counting)—ruining everyone professionally and financially—then I believe they will take a very different view as to the "unimportance" of the consequences of Ms. Keller's actions. When the IMF HR department signed the MoU with the IMFFA in 2011, legal and financial liability of actions by board members was transferred from the IMFFA to IMF—and why Ms. Keller waited to terminate me the day after the MoU was signed. Also, I believe Fund staff will understand to what extent 'Expat Wives Clubs' (IMFFA and WBFN included) are covering-up for rampant human rights violations of victims of domestic abuse, as well as victims of legal abuse—with their ostrich-playing rendering them complicit to horrific physical and sexual violence of approximately 20 million children around the world. Board members of these associations are accessories, to the crimes being committed against their own members, as well as untold millions of victims globally.

The fact that, as the UN report, "Indepth Study on All Forms of Violence Against Woman" states, "violence against women occurs in cross-border contexts also invites the development of cross-border cooperation." However, all the 'Expat Wives Clubs' are too busy 'schmoozing-n-cruising' with the "important" ostriches in "The Game" to bother with REAL people, and their daily challenges. And, I quote the UN report on "Good Practices on Eliminating and Combating Discrimination Against Women" states,

Once laws have been enacted there is a need for ongoing independent institutional mechanisms for oversight. These can take the form of an ombudsperson, a national rapporteur or gender equality machinery. The fact that violence against women occurs in cross-border contexts also invites the development of cross-border cooperation.

The due diligence principle and other aspects of international law obliges States to ensure that those whose human rights have been violated have not only access to justice, but also to healthcare and support services that respond to short-term injuries, protect from further violations and address longer-term harms. Extensive research now documents the adverse effects of violence against women on emotional and psychological well-being: less studied are the extensive economic and social costs for individuals, affecting the lives, relationships, productivity and achievement in education and paid employment. Women across the globe suffer these consequences in silence, never getting the help that is their due because there are too few support services in their country; they feel too ashamed, guilty, anxious or unworthy to contact services; they do not trust or have access to the services that exist; or they are unaware of

what is available. All these layers of exclusion must be addressed when seeking to improve the provision of support services for victims/survivors of violence against women.

The support and services that women need are provided by a range of actors, most commonly by State agencies and non-governmental organizations, but increasingly involving communities, employers, the private sector (health providers, counselors, lawyers) and individuals. This section explores aspects of good/promising practices in service provision. The principles it outlines apply to all the actors involved.

IMFFA and WBFN, as well as their domestic violence 'assistance programs' are well aware of my own personal case and its circumstance, my work in VAW of human rights violations, and the inertia amongst ALL of the DV 'experts' and their 'window-dressing' initiatives in the USA and Europe, on top of the feminists. And, while the ostrich-playing of IMFFA and WBFN is inadmissible, the WORST cover-up of this phenomenon is being perpetrated by the Federation of American Women's Clubs Overseas (FAWCO) and Americans Overseas Domestic Violence Crisis Center (AODVCC) headed by Paula Lucas. Instead of awards, the women who infect these organizations need to be investigated for negligence, and held accountable under the law.

The Nuremberg Trials, as well as Baltasar Garzon's trial of Pinochet under Universal Jurisdiction, clearly demonstrated that public authorities and civil servants are responsible for their criminal activity, as well as cover-up for criminal activity of government officials. And, sovereignty rights (or 'volunteerism') cannot be used to justify these crimes against humanity, as these ignorant, and silly women are contending. (Please see my blog-series The Right to Truth, the Obligation to Protect, and Universal Jurisdiction, as well as by blogs for the IMF/WB Spring Meetings Expat Wives Clubs, Smoke-screens for Expansionism & Corporate Greed—Part 20 (Ostrich/Toad Award for My-Linh Kunst & Monica Jubayll of FAWCO & Paula Lucas of AODVCC); Questions of Ethics, Expat Wives & Ruling Elite in "This Town" — Part 39 Carolyn Maloney & the Americans Abroad Caucus, More Smoke-screens for Expansionism & Corporate Greed—Part 21; Ostrich-playing by Garzón and Waisman with Tolls of Dead & Dying Mounting Daily — Part 22; UN FAWCO Smokes-screens on VAW/DV, CEDAW & Human Rights — Part 23; The Value of Family Values in Women vs. Ladies — Part 24; The Value of Family Values parmi des Bonnes Femmes vs. des Vipères — Part 25; The Value of Family Values des Mujeres vs. Señoras — Part 26; and Evil Step-Mothers in the IMF Are Supporting the Penn Ave Quadrant Mafia (IMF/WB/IFC/GWU) — Part 27.)

When I returned to the USA, and "The Swamp" in 2009, the first head-hunter I met with told me she would have a hard time finding a job for me, because anyone interviewing me would be afraid that in 6-months I would have their job. For the past 9-years this has consistently been my problem—and why someone who should be receiving a Nobel prize for "Re-writing the Rules of the American (and European) Economy(s)" was wrongfully, and illegally, terminated from the IMF twice, and cannot even get an interview for jobs within the many think-tanks and NGOs, which permeate "The Swamp" (see my blogs *Open Letter to Joseph Stiglitz, Time to Start "Re-writing the Rules," Instead of "Playing the Same Old Game!" - Part 1* and *Open Letter to Joseph Stiglitz, Time to Start "Re-writing the Rules," Instead of "Playing the Old Game!" - Part 2*).

Contrary to the position of all of the economists, HR personnel, and staff within the IMF (as well as all the other NGOs, think tanks and American government agencies throughout "The Swamp") when financial markets collapse once again, and angry mobs descend upon the homes throughout NW

Washington, Bethesda, Chevy Chase, McLean, etc. and start <u>indiscriminately raping and pillaging</u>; I will not sit complacently by watching, and say "Too bad for you! Bad luck of the 'draw." Nor will I attempt to exploit and bully victims as they have done with me (and other victims I know). Please see my presentation on Youtube at the Battered Mother's Custody Conference <u>Violence Against Women as Human Rights Violations</u>, which explains how all of the board members of 'Expats Wives Clubs' <u>IMFFA</u>, <u>WBFN</u>, <u>FAWCO</u>, <u>WNDC</u>, etc. and their DV initiatives is rendering them complicit, and legally liable for the criminal activity they are covering-up, through their omission of action and ostrich-playing.

Madame Lagarde and Anne-Marie Slaughter are amongst the few women in Power, whose story is not one of "rags-to-riches," but rather born into relatively affluent and educated families. I was also born into a family of relative "privilege." My <a href="father-william C. Wilcox">father-william C. Wilcox</a>, is a cardiologist world-famous for his pioneer work in the treatment of thrombosis (and battles against corruption and greed within the FDA, and American medical community). And, I attended exclusive boarding schools in England and the USA. However, while I was afforded many material advantages, my social-climbing, mother suffers from Munchausen by Proxy and has spent her life trying to control, manipulate, and destroy her husband, and children. Unfortunately, she has been quite successful in her endeavors, due to the incompetence of all the 'experts' in the domestic violence arena—who are more effective in promoting domestic abuse in societies, than combating it. I have been well-trained in the psycho-pathologies of manipulating and controlling others. However, unlike all too many women in Power at present, I did not embrace the back-scratching and back-stabbing customs of the psychopaths, but rather have been combating them my entire adult life. Additionally, equality of opportunity needs to be given to women of ALL socio-economic levels, not just those at the bottom of the ladder.

As my research clearly demonstrates, it is Middle-eastern women at the international level, and Afro-American women in the USA, who are responsible for the break-down of societies (and economies), with resulting escalation of violence and terrorism. As I keep explaining, as long as everyone just changes the names, skin-color, and gender of those in "The Game," but not the "Rules of the Game" nothing will ever change. Implicated parties in my case against the IMF, the actors and their accessories are primarily Middle-eastern women, Adrianne Thapa (Indian), Sharmini Coorey (Sri Lankan), Ana Daie (Middle Eastern, Iranian?), Kalpana Kochhar (Indian), Olivia Graham (Afro-American), Gheetha Ravindra (Indian), Lois Petzold (Indian, married to Pakistani), with two other players caucasion women, Gina Paone (Canadian), and Kate Phillips (New Zealander), as well as former IMF Family Association Chair Anne-Beatrix Keller Semadeni (caucasian, French 'pie-noire' national from N. Africa, Tunisia if my memory serves me), and the newest "Game-player" Sabina Blaskovic (?), Imel Yu (Asian woman), and Carolina Griffiths (Millennial). Additionally, in my case against the American government in the past year, the actors are primarily Afro-American, namely Bathsheba Philpott, Director of Institutional Giving at GWU (Afro-American), Judge Michael Rankin (Afro-American), Carol Blumenthal (Millennial), Kimberley Fahrenholz (Caucasian woman), Leopole McLaughlin III (Afro-American), Chelsea Killam (Caucasian, Millennial, woman), and Aurora Amoah (black Ghanese woman, and former employee of GWU). My case against the American government (for labor and housing rights violations) challenges the defense of the American government in the 1st jurisprudence of VAW as Human Rights violations, Gonzalez Lenahan vs. USA, 2011 which contends the US federal government does not have "jurisdiction" over its states and their courts. If the US government does not have jurisdiction, or control over their courts, or citizens within their states, then their raison d'etre ceases to exist.

My father is one of the most intelligent people I know. But, what has always impressed me more than his intelligence, is his humility and concern with 'common people.' I belief that Madame Lagarde possesses this same 'genius' as well as humility, and why I am irate at her being set up as "the fall-guy" to the impending financial collapse. Apart from having demonstrated my elevated intelligence, and ability to see "Big Pictures" as well as all the details time and time again, during my life, as well as the past decade, I am just following in the "family business." My grand-father was the first white lawyer (right out of law school) to successfully defend a black man in the Deep South during the Jim Crow Era (1910). Additionally, my family tree includes John Dickinson (Penman of the American Revolution), John Paul Jones, Winston Churchill, and goes back to Thomas Wilcox (co-author of the Puritan Manifesto, 1572). If I were a man, in a man's world, everyone would be so impressed with my lineage, they would be bowing-n-scraping to me like there was no tomorrow—instead of belittling and berating me.

If all the 'experts' in "The Swamp" do not stop violating the rights of citizens and workers, and restore the Rule of Law in the 'New Jim Crow,' and 4<sup>th</sup> Reich, as well as exporting the dysfunctional paradigm to societies and economies around the world, then the world will descend into a blood-bath and destruction of the planet. I truly believe in the good-faith of Madame Lagarde. While my "impolite" finger-pointing at major and minor players in "The Swamp" is unwelcome, by all those who have been living 'fat-n-happy' off the dysfunctional paradigm. I believe Madame Lagarde will agree that my "impoliteness" is infinitely preferable to the rampant violence, and raping and pillaging, that will come if the 'experts' in Washington (and Europe) do not start transforming their Rhetoric to Reality.

I am well aware of the fact, that my contentions and examination of socio-economic, and geo-political problems that plague the planet are not popular amongst those in power, and that I am being "bad-mouthed" by many people implicated in my 'denouncements.' However, as Winston Churchill, said "You have enemies? Good, that means you stood-up for something in your life!" I believe Winston Churchill would be proud of the number of "Enemies" I possess at present, and would agree that the dire state of affairs in the world, is as bad, if not worse, than before WWII and the rise of Nazi extremism. I hope you might bring the issues I have raised to the attention of Madame Lagarde, who like myself is a contractual, being set-up by old guard IMF staff, who are miserably failing to transform her rhetoric to reality—as are Dr. Kim and Mr. Ki-moon in the World Bank and United Nations, respectively.

All the old guard staffers in these organizations believe that they are above the law, and 'protected' members of society—due to the fact that they have been for decades. However, as seen time and time again, throughout history, eventually the oppressed, rise up and topple the oppressors—who are then held accountable for their crimes. And, while I am calling for Peace and restoration of the Rule of Law, I am only one lone voice amongst millions and millions of victims, and fear the blood-shed that will come, if action is not taken quickly. Not only does the world need the paradigm shift that Dr. Kim, and other world leaders are calling for, but it also needs a dramatic shift in resource allocation. Resource allocation must move from techy-liberalism, and excessive consumerism of luxury and semi-luxury goods for urban populations, to goods and services that cover the needs of all people, as well as transparency, accountability and good governance in ALL government agencies. Francis Fukuyama proved in his book *State Building, Governance and World Order in the 21st Century*, that good governance is few rules, uniformly applied.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I apologize for my lengthy correspondence. However, as you might surmise, I am extremely thorough and diligent in my work, and writing.

Sincerely,

Quenky Wilcox

Quenby Wilcox