

Lady Henrietta Bathurst
c/o Cirencester Park
Cirencester
Gloucestershire, GL7 2BU
Tel. 01285 653135

Dear Lady Bathurst,

I am contacting you due to the fact that we were room-mates for a semester at Cobham Hall in '77. I hope you and your family are well, and in good health this holiday season. My life has taken many turns since leaving Cobham in '78, but some of my happiest and fondest memories are of my time, and my friends, there.

I am contacting you now, in the hopes you might assist me in assuring that Prince Charles receive, and read, the enclosed correspondence regarding my research and findings on the socio-economic problems which plague the planet. In my work, not only do I explore the rise of extremism, nationalism, and neo-Nazism through-out Europe, the USA, and globally, but also the underlying problems and their roots. I also identify some of the many actors in Washington, DC (The Swamp) whose inertia, and inability to transform their rhetoric and ideologies into reality is responsible for the dire state of affairs in the world at present. I believe Prince Charles and I are on the 'same-page' regarding many public-policy issues, from a domestic as well as international perspective, and that he would be interested in my findings—which examine issues from an anthropological, historical, geo-political, legal and socio-economic perspectives.

I originally came to Washington, DC in April '81 as an intern o Capitol Hill for a Senator from Louisiana, determined to forge a career in international politics. However, I quickly became disillusioned with Washington, and the American political process. I ended up working in the financial markets from '85 to '87, but left disgusted; thinking “these guys are even worse!” I left Washington, and the USA, moving back to Europe (Paris) in January '88 to “find myself.” However, it was not until I had my two wonderful children ('92 and '94) that I “found myself” and my purpose in life. I ended up being a ‘stay-at-home’ mom, and ‘trailing-spouse’ to an expatriated Spanish/French banker. And, even though moving between Paris, Madrid, Brussels, and Bogota between '88 and '04 prevented me from developing a career, I never regretted having ‘opted-out’ of the ‘Rat-race’ to raise my children. They are the light of my life, and my love for them is what has sustained me in the past decade as I fight to be re-united with them, as well as fight the corruption and misogyny in the judicial systems which separated us.

Spanish courts, in their attempts to intimidate and silence me (for having denounced the violence and attempts upon my life by my ex-husband) defrauded me of all my assets. They marginalized me so that housing and labor-markets could in their turn exploit and oppress me, with no recourse under the law—due to criminal negligence of women’s rights and domestic violence (DV) NGOs on both sides of the Atlantic. The reason that women are reticent to denounce sexual harassment and violence is that court systems and societies in general marginalize and oppress any woman who has the courage to speak up, labeling them stupid-liars, ‘gold-diggers’, and/or mentally ill. The contention of the current American president, Donald Trump, that all the accusations against him for sexual misconduct are nothing but lies is typical of the ‘defense’ of abusers. And, lawyers and the courts are propagating this misogynistic fallacy by covering-up all evidence of abuse in court proceedings. NGOs and the press, in their turn, are covering-up the corruption in courts as well as the social norms which intimidate and silence victims.

For thousands of years societies (Jewish, Muslim, and Christian) have been using these same tactics to silence and oppress anyone who denounces their abusers, and the current situation is no different. The

public-policy and socio-economic issues are explored and explained in my reports¹ and correspondences to ‘public authorities’ and NGOs in the USA and Europe in the past decade, and are posted on my activism website, www.warondomesticterrorism.com.

Unfortunately, my story is not as ‘rosy’ as everyone wants to believe, with my ex-husband destroying himself and his career as Director of the Treasury Department of one of the biggest banks in Colombia in ‘03 (Banco Ganadero, subsidiary of Spanish multinational bank Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria (BBVA—his employer for 25 years). As a consequence, we returned to Madrid after 13 years of expatriation. But, instead of dealing with his psychological problems and trying to salvage his career, he started money-laundering for his brother and cousin’s human-trafficking and prostitution business in Spain (unbeknownst to me at the time). His cousin is ex-military and has served in the Spanish guardia civil since ‘74. He is a perfect example of how norms and customs, as well as personnel, within law enforcement and the courts in Spain have not changed since the time of Franco. One of the main reasons governments around the world are failing to implement democratic principles under constitutions and human rights standards is that EVERYONE is playing the same nepotism, abuses of power, and cover-ups ‘games’ that have been played for thousands of years—and is the ‘cohesive’ force in the present dysfunctional paradigm.

My report “[Work-place Bullying at the IMF](#)” provides a perfect case-study and analysis of the tactics used by abusers, and their accessories, as well as the ‘ignore them until they go away’ tactics of those who have a legal and moral obligation to hold abusers accountable, and protect victims. I have been observing bullying tactics all of my life, as well as the how social norms are silencing victims. My mother is not only a bully, but she suffers from Munchausen by proxy syndrome. She has been (successfully) manipulating people all her life to cover-up for her abuse of my father and her children. My younger sister embraced and perpetuated the same bullying tactics and manipulative nature of my mother, along with the dysfunctional paradigm which is empowering abusers, instead of holding them accountable. So not only have I been observing these tactics all my life, but I am an ‘expert’ in spotting a back-stabbing, manipulative viper from ‘a mile away’. In addition to the IMF during my 7-year tenure there, I have been observing these same tactics, and the dysfunctional management and labor rights violations of employees it is producing, in the restaurants in which I have been working for the past year—and is why turnover rates in the restaurant business are so elevated. One manager openly admitted to me that he was “running a dictatorship.” But, since labor-law lawyers are no more able to understand issues under human rights standards than any of the other lawyers, and there is no specific ‘law’ against bullying, restaurants in ‘The Swamp’ are at liberty to violate the rights of their workers with total impunity.

After settling my family into our new home and life in the suburbs of Madrid in ‘04-‘05, I decided to start a company, rather than look for a job in the Spanish job market, where age discrimination is so blatant that job-posts openly state “over 30 need not apply.” (I have encountered the same problem in labor markets in the USA in the past decade².) Then, after ‘discovering’ the many possibilities Internet afforded, and realizing I could ‘reconnect’ with all of the people around the world that I know after 40+ years of globe-trotting, I decided to start a website, www.global-xpats.com. The entire project, [Global Expats](#) is dedicated to assisting expatriated families, while providing a comprehensive solution to the dual-career challenge of these families. The idea for the company is modeled after existing ‘Expatriate Wives

¹ [Family Courts in Crisis Newsletter, Domestic Abuse – A Human Rights Violations the Duty to Protect & Due Diligence, Domestic Violence in Societies & the Courts, Failure of Family Court to Protect Child Victims of Sexual Abuse](#)

² See NY Times article “[The Opt-Out Generation Wants Back In](#)”

Clubs' (ie. Federation of American Women's Club Overseas (FAWCO), Fédération Internationale des Accueils Français et Francophones d'Expatriés (FIAFE), IMF Family Association (IMFFA), World Bank Family Network (WBFN), etc.). However, my idea transforms the model from a non-profit org, reliant on volunteer, 'trailing-spouses' into a revenue-generating org, remunerating its employees.

Unfortunately, while the concept of these orgs (expat, 'trailing-spouse' assisting other 'trailing spouses') is good; they are notorious for being filled with nothing but a bunch of social-climbing, back-stabbing, socialite wannabes. This is why even the most well-known and best organized of them, FAWCO, attracts only 1% of the 7 million Americans living abroad—with most expats avoiding them like the plague. My tenure as President of the French 'Expatriates Club' in Bogota (Bogota Accueil, '02-'03) as well as running the IMF's Family Association ('09-'11) particularly taught me to what extent these orgs are infested with vicious, social-climbing vipers, who only serve on boards in order to advance husband's careers, or 'hob-nob' with Ambassadors wives. Originally, when I came up with the idea for Global Expats, I had thought that if I could remunerate the employees of 'Expatriates Club', then I could rid them of the back-scratching/back-stabbing, bow-and-scraping 'game-players' as well as their 'games'. Unfortunately, since my return to the remunerated work-force in '09, I have learned to what extent it is as dysfunctional as the non-remunerated one—and why bullying and 'game-playing' is the dominating force.

Additionally, what my research into the feminist movement of the past 50 years has shown, is that it is the feminists who are responsible for the escalating bullying in the work-place (and throughout the USA) with their alpha-dominance feminism—denounced by Betty Friedan in her book *The Second Stage*, '81. See my blog "[Feminism and the Enemy Within](#)", from which I quote,

Betty Friedan in The Second Stage explains how this phenomenon has come into play by feminists of the past, and present, in promoting an alpha world (see my blog, [Is 'Alpha' Male Leadership Responsible for Modern Societies' Problems?](#)), "Contemporary feminism has taught us to reject the values conventionally associated with our sex. We are expected to pursue the male standards of success... simple dominance, either by winning the rat race or, if all else fails, by dominance over women...Through the 1970's we argued what kind of equality we wanted. Did we want equal access to the same system or the power to change it? Can you change the system only by becoming a part of it? Once you are in it, does it change you instead? We discovered that it is easier to fit in than to restructure. When the "male" standard is regarded as the "higher" one, the one with the most tangible rewards, it is easier for women to reach "up" than to convince men of the virtues of simultaneously reaching "down." It has proved simpler – though not simple, God knows –for women to begin traveling traditional (male) routes than to change those routes. It is simpler to dress for success than to change the definition of success."

One of the reasons my ex-husband went to the most extra-ordinary lengths to destroy my project and me, was his fear that I would find out about his illegal activity and put a stop to it—as well as the fact that I am not the babbling-idiot he, and his family, have contended my entire marriage. My market research in '04-'05 showed \$1 billion/year revenue potential for the platform I was trying to create, and its success would have given me the power to expose and stopped my ex-husband's family's mafia business. And, effectively networking (ie. Facebook, LinkedIn, etc.) and 'local-search directories' (ie. Yelp, Tripadvisor, etc.) are generating billions in revenues at present. However, instead of what I envisioned—a medium through which people could connect and work for the betterment of their communities—these networking platforms and 'local search directories' have become nothing other than a place for advertisers to sell more junk, a medium through which governments can influence election results of other countries, and another motor behind the dysfunctional socio-economic trends of the 'Fractured American Dream'. (I

even came up with the idea for the iPhone in '06, but never envisioned the 'addiction' problem it would create, and which has only increased the prevalence and gravity of attention deficit disorder (ADHD) in societies.)

As I explained in my blog, "[Fractured Fairytale Deb vs. Deb of the Decade: Observations of a CSO Rep at IMF/WB Spring Mtgs – Part 28](#)," one of the architects and motors behind the dysfunctional socio-economic model the USA has exported globally is former class-mate from Foxcroft School (my American boarding school, '78-'81), Cornelia Guest. And, as I explain in the blog, Cornelia was definitely used as a pawn by her mother, NYC socialite CZ Guest, and her 'good-friend' Nancy Reagan in her social-climbing 'games' which included promotion of the 'trickle-down' theory of Reaganomics, as well as his 'War on Drugs'. However, Cornelia is no longer a young girl who can excuse her part in maintaining the hedonistic, narcissistic, and oppressive society she, along with [Studio 54](#) 'jet-setters', helped to create in the '80s. She, along with other Foxcroft alumnae—including [Keisha Knight Pullman](#)—need to examine how their actions, and omission of actions, are sustaining and supporting the highly dysfunctional society they, and their parents, helped to create. The only thing the Reagan's 'War on Drugs' and 'Just Say No' campaigns accomplished was to transform the USA into a police state, incarcerating urban, black-men at a rate of 90%, while sending white, cocaine-snorting '[YUPPIES](#)' and Wall Street 'golden-boys' to country-club, detox installations.

One of the reasons I moved back to Europe in the late '80s was my disillusionment with the hedonism and superficiality of American society and its ruling-elite at the time. Everyone, who was anyone, was so busy snorting cocaine and partying that they turned a blind-eye to the total degradation of people's rights and the rule of law in the USA—which was precarious to begin with. The Bush, Clinton, Bush, and Obama Administrations, followed the same policies, as the Reagan's—with the same disastrous results. At present, the US holds 25% of the world's prison population, with incarceration of the poor and marginalized a lucrative money-making business—and responsible for the '[New Jim Crow](#)' in the USA. And, the [Opioid epidemic](#) in the USA and Canada, which began in the '90s, demonstrates how the dysfunctional paradigm in which we live is just changing the names of the drugs, but not the root cause of the addictions. As seen in the documentary on '[Prohibition](#)' in the USA, drug-addiction and the government's ineffective response, has been the same for the past 100 years. Unfortunately, while Europeans are quick to criticize the dysfunctional social trends of the Americans, they fail to recognize, and admit, that they are following the same dysfunctional path.

And, Reagan's 'trickle-down' theory, which is responsible for the growing income inequality in the USA, was never challenged by the Clinton or Obama Administrations. Instead, it was embraced by their leaders, which are nothing other than social-climbing minorities who rose to power in the Democratic party (and work-force) through affirmative action policies, and *laissez-faire* human resource management. The human resource management industry has been slowly concentrating power in the hands of previously oppressed minorities, under the guise of 'diversity' for decades. However, instead of 'righting' previously unjust systems, these minorities have been using the same tyrannical tactics and rhetoric to oppress previously favored groups—with white, upper and upper-middle class, 'trophy-wives' one of the more targeted groups as I have found out since my marginalization by Spanish courts. This is why the reinsertion rate of women who 'opted-out' of the work-force to raise their children (like myself) are excluded from re-entry into the work-force at rates of 70+%. The elevated level of incompetence and negligence in present work-forces is explained in my blog, "[The 70/90 Rule & the Principle of Due Diligence](#)".

One of the greatest fallacies perpetuated by feminists is that placing women in positions of power will produce gender-equality and inclusive societies. Not only has this contention been [debunked through-out](#)

[history by blood-thirsty and power hungry women rulers](#), but the UN's report "[Indepth Study on All Forms of Violence Against Women \(VAW\)](#)" clearly states that while women are less often perpetrators of physical and sexual violence, it is they who perpetuate the social norms which maintain violence and oppression of women in societies. Never has this been more true, and more overt, than by the feminists in power today.

As I explain in my blog, [Economic Stability, the Puritan Manifesto and the Two Mr. Penns – Part 1](#), members within my family-tree has been challenging hedonism, narcissism, and oppression in societies going back to the time of Queen Elizabeth I, with Thomas Wilcox, co-author of Admonitions to Parliament (aka Puritan Manifesto). It continued into the American Revolution with John Dickinson, 'Penman of the Revolution' and John Paul Jones, 'Father of the American Navy', then into World War II, with Winston Churchill ([on his mother's side](#)), and into the '[Jim Crow](#)' Era, with my grandfather (see my blog [Harper Lee's Passing: A Legend Dies, But Not Sa Raison d'Etire](#)). It also includes [my father](#), who has been fighting the greedy, for-profit American health-care system, along with the FDA, his entire career. The reason we moved to England in the '70s, was that my father's pioneer work in the treatment of thrombosis was more widely accepted in England (and Russia and China), than in the USA, due to it elevated costs. My marginalization by Spanish courts, as well as misogynistic and discriminatory labor-markets, is not only responsible for my inability to challenge, and reverse, the dysfunctional socio-economic trends in the USA (and world); but also predatory and dysfunctional public-healthcare policies of Americans, in collusion with greed-mongering pharmaceutical companies and the Federal Drug Administration (FDA)—which are no different than South American drug-cartels.

As I keep on explaining in [my blogs](#), until the root cause of rampant drug-addiction is attacked, populations will just change one drug for another. The issues are particularly explored in the blog series I did in response to the berating of Sean Penn by the American press to his Rolling Stone's article, "El Chapo Speaks"³, in January 2016. If all the press contacts I have been lobbying for over a decade had been DOING THEIR JOBS, then Donald Trump would have NEVER won his bid for presidency—and extremists in the Democratic and Republican parties would not be in power today. While the US Congress and press are fixated over the Russian's influence in favor of Trump during the elections, they should also be examining how the lack of integrity, diligence, and responsible reporting by the American press was responsible for Trump's rise to power—and the autocracy he is presently creating.

One of the main reasons I came up with the idea for a social and professional networking platform on the Internet in '06 was the fact that, while I do not come from a wealthy and powerful family, I have been rubbing shoulders with them (on both sides of the Atlantic) for the past 40 years. Not only am I familiar with 'who is who' in Europe and the 'Americas', but I know who is in within their networks, and how those networks can be used to attack and challenge many of the dysfunctional norms which guide our societies. Unfortunately, the only thing the western feminist movement has accomplished (apart from

³ [El Chapo, Sean Penn and the Failed War on Drugs – Part 1](#)

[El Chapo, Sean Penn and the Failed War on Drugs - Part 2](#)

[El Chapo, Sean Penn and the Failed War on Drugs - Part 3](#)

[Human Rights, War on Drugs, and Sean Penn on Complicity - Part 1](#)

[Human Rights, War on Drugs, and Sean Penn on Complicity - Part 2](#)

[Human Rights, War on Drugs, and Sean Penn on Complicity - Part 3](#)

[Mexican Drug Trade: A Look into the Future by Looking at Colombia's Past – Part 1](#)

[Mexican Drug Trade: A Look into the Future by Looking at Colombia's Past – Part 2](#)

[Mexican Drug Trade: A Look into the Future by Looking at Colombia's Past – Part 3](#)

[Outlaw Heroes, Drug Lords, and International Trade – Part 1](#)

[Outlaw Heroes, Drug Lords, and International Trade – Part 2](#)

[Outlaw Heroes, Drug Lords, and International Trade – Part 3](#)

advancing reproductive rights of women) has been to enable and empower the psychopaths and bullying networks in society, particularly the work-place—with ‘philanthropic’ NGOs complicit to the entire mess in their failure to analyze, and expose, the issues in a holistic manner.

In 2010, a lobbyist for the National Coalition Against Domestic Violence (NCADV) told me that what was needed was a new civil rights movement. So I ‘rolled up my sleeves’ and produced the rhetoric and ideological base for the ‘revolution’ (for Peace) that is needed at present. However, it is now time to implement and transform the rhetoric and political platform I have produced. And, while my geo-political trajectories of the past 40 years resemble that of John Paul Jones, my voluminous and meticulous research and writings of the past decade, I believe, demonstrate without a doubt my heredity link to John Dickinson. Perhaps if King George III had been more receptive to Dickinson’s letters and his protests against the actions of Parliament at the time, then a peaceful resolution could have been found—and much bloodshed avoided. Unfortunately, the US Congress has been as deficient in heeding my words, and warnings, as the British Parliament and Crown were to Dickinson over 200 years ago.

Another in my family-tree, who challenged the British Crown (Elizabeth I) with his writings and documents, was Thomas Wilcox. However, instead of heeding his words, Wilcox was imprisoned in the Tower of London, and became one of the ‘founders’ of the Puritan movement. So, while it is futile to consider the trajectory of American, British, and even world history, if the British Crown had heeded the words of Wilcox in the late 16th century, or the words of Dickinson two centuries later, it is important to examine the issues and problems they were challenging—and which I explore and cover in my letters and writing over the years.

If the destructive trajectory of the world is to be reversed, and mankind is to survive, it is imperative that monarchies across Europe, led by the most powerful and respected monarchy, the British Crown, produce the paradigm shift world leaders are calling for, but are miserably failing to produce. One of the advantages of constitutional monarchies is the hereditary nature of monarchs and their life-time term as rulers. These two elements prevent them from being unduly influenced by factions and interests of minority groups—issues which greatly concerned the Founding Fathers of the USA. Historian Robert G. Natelson explains the advantages of constitutional monarchies in “[The Constitutional Contributions of John Dickinson](#),” Penn State Law Review, Vol. 108:2, from which I quote,

Dickinson was and admirer of the British Constitution. In Great Britain, liberty had been preserved largely by the balance between the House of Lords, the House of Commons, the executive, and the judiciary....

In Britain, the executive preserved its independence from the other branches through the prestige of the Crown, the power to create peers, the authority to veto legislation, and the ability to influence the Commons with patronage...

Dickinson, like many other Founders, believed that the greatest enemies of free, impartial government were those who conspired to prostitute political power for non-public ends. The founding generation called those conspiracies “cabals,” “combinations,” “juntos,” “parties,” or “factions.” The public happiness required that the schemes of factions be curbed before they came to fruition. Otherwise, “usurpations, which might have been successfully opposed at first, acquire strength by continuance, and thus become irresistible. The preferred way to check factions was constitutionally, so that intense public response did not become necessary....

...In extreme cases, the public might have to respond militarily to break the excesses of faction. This would be unfortunate, for “[t]he cause of liberty is a cause of too much dignity to be sullied by turbulence and tumult.”

One of my biggest frustrations with EVERYONE in the past decade has been their failure to understand what networking is and how it functions. Networking is NOT about attending lots of conferences, passing out lots of business cards, giving lots of speeches, writing lots of books, or maintaining lots of social media campaigns on the Internet. Those are TOOLS of networking, but NOT NETWORKING ITSELF. NETWORKING IS, as demonstrated by this letter to you (and all the others I have written to the ‘important’ people in the world in the past 10 years), **knowing who is in your networks, what they are (or should be) doing, and who they know—and utilizing that knowledge to mobilize these people, and their networks, to achieve a common goal.**

Unfortunately, not only does NO ONE in ‘The Swamp’ understand what REAL networking is, but they are also utilizing the ‘tools’ of networking to advance their own narcissistic and hedonistic interests, rather than the common good. It is for this reason that the American democracy is as much a failure as the democracies produced by the Greeks and Romans thousands of years ago. As Natelson explains in his article “[The Constitutional Contributions of John Dickinson](#)”, the purpose of government is to promote the “common good or general welfare” rather than the narcissistic interests of a few individuals or minority groups, and I quote,

The Purpose of Government

*Dickinson believed in identifying ends before means. One should ascertain the purpose of a governmental establishment before choosing its structure or enacting its laws. The ideal purpose was to promote the common good or general welfare. In his draft of the Declaration of the Causes and Necessity of Taking up Arms, Dickinson wrote, “Government was instituted to promote the Welfare of Mankind, and ought to be administered for the Attainment of that End.” In adopting this view, Dickinson was adhering to Whig faith. John Locke had said something very much like it. So also had the highly influential essays by John Trenchard and Thomas Gordon published as Cato’s Letters, with which Dickinson was familiar. In this respect, moreover, Dickinson and Burke agreed. **Burke had described Parliament as “one interest, that of the whole, where, not local purposes, not local prejudices ought to guide, but the general good.”***

Dickinson believed that to advance the general welfare government must respect freedom and protect good citizens from bad ... At several points Dickinson suggested that laws inconsistent with government’s general welfare purpose were ultra vires, not binding on the citizenry, and should be resisted.

During my divorce in Spain, one of my lawyers told me that I was a divorcée now, and therefore, had to “appear to be a Lady.” My response was that “I did not need to ‘appear’ to be a Lady, because I was a Lady! And, I was a Lady not for the car I drove, the house in which I lived, nor the clothes I wore; but rather who I am, and what I have done with my life. And, if my lawyers had known how to do their job, my ‘ladyship’ would never have been put into question in the first place!!” It is for this reason I have taken the liberty of contacting you at present, one of the TRUE Ladies I have known in my life (and not because of your title). I respectfully request that you ask your brother, the 9th Earl of Bathurst, to ask his

friend, Prince Charles, to read my correspondence to him—instead of it ending up in the waste bin of one of his staffers, as has been the fate and demise of all my correspondences for the past decade.

Sincerely,

Quenby Wilcox