

Executive Directors of the IMF

Sunil Sabharwal  
Masaaki Kaizuka  
Tetsuya Hiroshima  
Jin Zhongxia  
Ping Sun  
Anthony De Lannoy  
Richard Doornbosch  
Vladyslav Rashkovan  
Steffen Meyer  
Klaus Gebhard Merk  
Carlos Hurtado  
Jorge Dajani Gonzalez  
Jose Alejandro Rojas Ramirez  
Marzunisham Omar  
Benjamin Marcelo  
Carlo Cottarelli  
Michail Psalidopoulos  
Herve de Villeroche  
Schwan Badirou-Gafari  
Stephen Field  
Victoria White  
Heenam Choi  
Christine Barron  
Grant Andrew Johnston  
700 19th St NW, Washington, DC 20431

RE: Impending Financial Collapse and IMF's Mandate

June 9, 2017

Dear Messrs. Sabharwal, Kaizuka, Hiroshima, Zhongxia, Sun, de Lannoy, Doornbosch, Rashkovan, Meyer, Gebhard Merk, Hurtado, Dajani Gonzalez, Rojas Ramirez, Omar, Marcelo, Cottarelli, Psalidopoulos, de Villeroche, Badirou-Gafari, Field, Choi, and Johnston, and Ms. White, and Barron,

I am taking the liberty of contacting you under your responsibility to assure that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank fulfill their mandates according to the Bretton Woods system<sup>1</sup>, as well as assure that the internal management of the IMF and World Bank complies with national and international laws. Unfortunately, after the results of the recent US Presidential Elections, European elections (particularly France), the precarious state of the European Union and the euro, the rise of

dictatorships in countries like Turkey and the USA, as well as the rise of extremism globally; it is clear that the IMF (along with the World Bank and United Nations) have not been successful in preventing the same socio-economic and geo-political problems which gave rise to WWII—and the reason they were created in the first place. Instead of preventing another World War, the failure of IMF staff (and the international community in general) to foresee, as well as prevent, repeated economic crises has, and is, rendering them complicit to conditions which have laid the foundation for the next World War. Extremism and terrorism are on the rise globally, with financial markets and banking systems on the brink of collapse. The situation will quickly degrade into a Great Global Depression—and demise of the Planet—if policy-makers do not implement draconian reforms within their own organizations, as well as their public-policy analysis and regulatory functions.

The faulty interpretation of socio-economic and geo-political situations of the world by economists at the highest level of the IMF for decades, coupled with systemic mis-management by department heads and HR personnel within the Fund; is why the IMF is perpetuating global economic instability, as well as increasing political extremism, civil unrest and terrorism. The high-level IMF economists I critique in my analyses of the many public-policy issues (highlighted in my blogs on [LinkedIn](#) and [Huffington Post](#), particularly my coverage of the IMF/World Bank Spring and Annual Meetings, 2016), are [David Lipton](#), Deputy Managing Director; Olivier Blanchard, Director of Research (RES); [Carla Grasso](#), Chief Administrative Officer (CAO); [Sharmini Coorey](#), Director of the Institute for Capacity Development (ICD); [Kalpana Kocchar](#), Director of Human Resources (HR); [Mark Plant](#), former Director of HR and Visiting Fellow at the Center for Global Development; and [Masood Ahmed](#), former Director of the Middle East and Central Asia, and recently appointed President of the [Center for Global Development](#).

**David Lipton** served in the US Treasury Department during the Clinton Administration, and as stated on his [IMF bio](#), “helped lead [the Administration’s] response to the financial crisis in Asia and the effort to modernize the international financial architecture.” During his career, Mr. Lipton was employed by Citibank, where he “was Head of Global Country Risk Management. In that capacity, he chaired Citi’s Country Risk Committee, worked for the Senior Risk Officer, and advised senior management on global risk issues.” Mr. Lipton has been a ‘major-player’ in the increasing high-risk mentality and investment strategies that have dominated banking systems and financial markets for decades; as well as having been a ‘major player’ in the Clinton Administration’s failure to address the underlying problems within these systems—rampant greed, negligence, and corruption. One of the major reasons that Hillary Clinton lost her bid for Presidency was the failure of her husband’s Administration and the Democrats, to ‘fix’ the rampant problems within the American banking systems and financial markets, which found their genesis in the ‘70s, with traders like Mike Milken and his junk bond trading schemes. These problems have since been ‘exported’ to other countries around the world, and have been increasing exponentially as more and more ‘players’ join ‘The Game.’ **Full financial inclusion, without proper governance, is extremely detrimental to the socio-economic structures** of any country or society (explained in my blogs covering the IMF/World Bank Annual and Spring Meetings, see Table of Content attached). Additionally, Mr. Lipton’s contention (and insistence in response to my question during the IMF/World Bank Spring Meetings, 2016 seminar [Emerging Markets at the Crossroads: Challenges and Opportunities<sup>1</sup>](#)), that “corruption is not everywhere,” is totally erroneous, and high-lights the extent to which Lipton (and the IMF) is turning a blind-eye to the hard realities within banking systems and financial markets—as covered in my blog [Kings & Queen’s for a Day, and Their House of Cards: Observations of a CSO Rep at the IMF/WB Spring Meetings – Part 17](#).

Additionally, if Lipton would take the time to look at the inner workings of his own organization, he would understand that CORRUPTION IS INDEED, EVERYWHERE—**even under his very nose!** My case analysis of [corruption in the IMF](#), the cover-up of that corruption, and attempted silencing of the whistleblower by IMF staff, is a perfect example for policy-makers, journalists, and the general public of what NOT TO DO in combating corruption, abuses of power, and discrimination. The ‘ostrich-playing,’ to my [complaint for workplace bullying](#), by the Ethics Committee, Ombudsman, and Mediator at the IMF

---

<sup>1</sup> (see 55:35 for my question and David Lipton’s response at 1:01:40)

(all who have, or should have, a background and understanding of the law under human rights standards), is a perfect example of the systemic cover-up by the legal profession for abuses of power in workplaces, homes, and communities. **Effective ‘capacity development’ entails, above all, combating rampant mis-management, as well as cover-ups of mis-management within regulatory and over-sight functions—and not more empty-rhetoric by PhDs, MBAs, Esqs, and other ‘experts.’**

The IMF Mediator, [Geetha Ravindra](#) (in addition to the Ethics Committee and Ombudsman) needs to be investigated for her negligence and omission of action, in relation to investigating allegations of abuses of power within the Fund, as well as within the Virginia judicial system—which, from my understanding from victims and activists in the USA, is amongst the most grievous in human rights violations of women and children, as well as its cover-up by regulators, mediators, etc. The fact that Ravindra has been a mediator in the Virginia judicial system, and mediator in family courts, for over 10 years, contrary to being an endorsement of her skills and integrity, is cause for concern, and investigation for malfeasance and criminal negligence. The lawlessness within American courts is highlighted in my blog series [The Right to Truth, the Obligation to Protect, and Universal Jurisdiction](#), pursuant to my ‘court-watch’ for [Erin Eddy in Arlington, VA courts](#), as well as my blog for [Maria Jose Carrascosa](#) after her release from illegal imprisonment for 8 years in Bergen, New Jersey [In Defense of Maria Jose Carrascosa: Rights Are No Rights Unless Protected and Defended](#). The elevated level of discrimination and negligence in American courts is also high-lighted in [Avena, Mexico vs. USA](#), (2004, International Court of Justice).

In the same way Ravindra is accessory to the criminal activity, and human rights violations, being covered-up by family court systems, she is accessory to the labor rights violations, and infractions of the law, perpetrated by IMF employees, under US, and international law—and needs to be held accountable, along with the principles, and their accessories. In addition to abuses of power and discrimination in court systems, I have been tracking and documenting the same cover-up of discrimination, and other abuses of power, in court-systems, as well as societies, by Ombudsmen, starting with the former Ombudsman of National Press Radio (NPR), [Alicia Sheppard \(2010\)](#), and the [Spanish Defensor del Pueblo \(2012-present\)](#). The quantity of ‘regulators,’ and those fulfilling oversight functions, who need to be investigated for negligence, malfeasance and cover-ups is extra-ordinary, and of crisis proportions—and why the situation constitutes crimes against humanity under art.7 of the Roma Statutes.

Francis Fukuyama proved in his book, [State Building, Governance, and World Order in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century](#), that good governance is few rules, uniformly applied. However, just like the governments that the IMF has a legal obligation to oversee and regulate, Management in the Fund is actively covering-up the criminal activity of its own employees. **Civil servants in finance ministries are turning a blind-eye to problems within banking systems and financial markets in the same way as the IMF is turning a blind-eye to its internal management problems, as well as problems in banking systems and financial markets.** [Charles Ferguson’s](#) documentary film, [Inside Job](#), as well as Michael Lewis’s book, [The Big Short: Inside the Doomsday Machine](#), provides an excellent examination of the problems which led to the financial collapse in ’08. As demonstrated in this documentary and book, rampant greed, unethical and often criminal behavior within the financial profession and a total lack of governance by regulators and public authorities, has allowed banking systems to become increasingly speculative and erratic. **It is this culture of corruption that the IMF (and World Bank and UN) need to address, and combat, in their ‘capacity building’ efforts—rather than espousing more politically-correct, but empty, rhetoric of policy-makers and ‘experts’ (who are in the pockets of corporate interests and their greed).** After the ’08 financial collapse, and ensuing financial crisis, [Dr. Michael Burry](#) (hedge-fund manager who predicted the financial collapse) contacted authorities in the American government, offering to explain how, and why, the markets collapsed. The response of the American government was an investigation of Burry by the FBI and several audits by the IRS. Burry explains the collapse, and failure of regulators to heed warnings that “anyone” could see in his NY Times article, [I Saw the Crisis Coming. Why Didn’t the Fed?](#)

*...I have often wondered why nobody in Washington showed any interest in hearing exactly how I arrived at my conclusions that the housing bubble would burst when it did and that it could*

*cripple the big financial institutions. A week ago I learned the answer when Al Hunt of Bloomberg Television, who had read Michael Lewis's book, "The Big Short," which includes the story of my predictions, asked Mr. Greenspan directly. The former Fed chairman responded that my insights had been a "statistical illusion." Perhaps, he suggested, I was just a supremely lucky flipper of coins.*

*Mr. Greenspan said that he sat through innumerable meetings at the Fed with crack economists, and not one of them warned of the problems that were to come. By Mr. Greenspan's logic, anyone who might have foreseen the housing bubble would have been invited into the ivory tower, so if all those who were there did not hear it, then no one could have said it.*

*As a nation, we cannot afford to live with Mr. Greenspan's way of thinking. The truth is, he should have seen what was coming and offered a sober, apolitical warning. Everyone would have listened; when he talked about the economy, the world hung on every single word. Unfortunately, he did not give good advice...*

Capital markets are currently dominated by speculation and rumors—run like casinos—rather than competent analysis of market fundamentals and a dedication by investors to responsible capitalism. What investors, policy-makers, as well as the general-public fail to understand is that the capitalism western societies have lauded for decades, if not centuries, is as 'corrupt' as the Eastern bloc communism developed in the USSR and China—and why those societies and political systems broke down, and have been replaced by 'free market' systems (which are no more 'free' than their western counter-parts). **Free-market capitalism is a better economic system than government-directed markets, as demonstrated by the damage done to economies and societies in communist countries in past decades** (ie. USSR, Eastern Europe, China, Cuba, Venezuela, etc.)—and why capitalism has managed to survive, until recently. However, contrary to popular belief, capitalist economies of the West are a far-cry from following 'purist' Keynesian economics, with an optimal allocation of resources based on supply and demand. Instead, resource allocation in these economies are based on predatory "suppliers," and destructive and dysfunctional "demanders"—creating chaos, predatory banking systems and business environments, rampant corporate greed, excessive consumerism, and political extremism.

In the past decade, I have found (and demonstrated) time and again in my research and efforts to find funding for [Global Exports](#), that free markets do not exist in the USA and Europe; due to the fact that venture capital is reserved for, and concentrated in the hands of, a small group of irresponsible and predatory "ol' grey-haired men" and "Game-players" (ie. Donald Trump, Mike Milken, Jeffrey Hilton, de Cabiedes family (Spain), Bernard Tapie, Xavier Giacomini, Emmanuel Macron (France) etc.). On top of a lack of free access to capital for ALL entrepreneurs, problems lie in the fact that the "Game-players" (who have exclusive access to monies in capital markets, including Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) financing), are failing to conduct even a minimum of market research in analysis of their ideas and investments. This is why start-up failure rates are at 70-90%, as well as why consumer markets are flooded with an enormous amount of superfluous, and ridiculous, luxury and semi-luxury products and services for 'urbanites' and Millennials—while the masses are being exploited by predatory corporate interests and criminally negligent government policies, couple with a failure of the business community to provide the products and services these markets need, and want.

As one capital venurist in Spain avowed in a youtube presentation, investors do not care about the value of the products or services in which they are investing; only how fast they can get 'in and out' of the investment, while maximizing their profit margin. In the capital venture world, the first question asked is "what is your exit strategy?" with little concern as to the necessity (or value to society, economies, or the planet-earth) of the actual products and services being produced. Using this strategy, investors occasionally "strike it rich," but in the process waste enormous resources (financial and human) in unnecessary, unviable, counter-productive and/or unprofitable products and services. The assumption of most economists—that resources are being efficiently and effectively allocated—is extremely erroneous,

and de-bunked in George Akerloff and Robert Shiller's book [Phising for Phools](#) (noting that Akerloff is married to Janet Yellen, Chair of the Board of Governors of the US Federal Reserve).

The reason that failure rates for start-ups are at 70-90%, is not only because investors are failing to properly investigate potential investments, but they are also failing to hire managers who understand how to implement progressive and effective personnel management-styles into their respective organizations, and industries. The antiquated management-style that pervades the IMF (and ALL bureaucratic government, and pseudo-government agencies), which is based on bullying, nepotism, and 'ostrich-playing;' **is highly dysfunctional**. Yet, it is being upheld, and even encouraged, by management of these organizations, as well as the HR departments; encouraging back-scratching/back-stabbing and intellectual property theft amongst 'experts,' as well as seat-warming and 'power-games' amongst support staff—as demonstrated in the case study of [bullying in the workplace in the IMF](#). As I demonstrate in my analysis, it is the dysfunctional management within the Fund, which is translating into a failure of 'experts' to see the 'Bigger Picture' and why the IMF (along with other regulators) are, once again, failing to 'foresee' the upcoming financial collapse, and ensuing Global Depression.

The failure of 'public authorities' to understand the problems and challenges of modern societies, is why 'democratic' governments are becoming increasingly extremist and autocratic. Democrats lost the US Presidential election, as well as a majority in Congress, because they are failing to understand the challenges and problems of Middle-America (and the middle-class) and instead cow-towing to special interests of minority groups, led by 'urbanites' and Millennials—with monopolistic, Bill Gates, Microsoft, and techy-liberalism leading the way down a highly dysfunctional path. Silicon Valley is not only inundated with high-risk investments, but is also inundated with highly dysfunctional and emotionally unstable people managing those investments, as highlighted in CNN article [How Silicon Valley is dealing with mental illness](#) and Forbes article [Is Silicon Valley bad for your health?](#).

Additionally, bankruptcy-building billionaire, US President Trump's recent interviews with media outlets (see CNN article [Donald Trump just gave two incredibly bizarre \(and fact-free\) interviews](#)) is a perfect example of the extent to which the 'savvy' businessmen do not deal with facts or the 'real world' or even minimally prepare for any work they do. Kellyanne Conway even coined the phrase "alternative fact" to cover-up the failure of Trump and his Administration and advisors to examine the reality of situations and issues—and instead invent some twisted narcissistic, psychopathic world-view in their arguments. The level of ignorance amongst the 'important people' and 'experts' in the public and private sectors in the USA and Europe is INCREDIBLE, and of crisis proportions. Additionally, Trump's recent announcement to pull the USA out of the [Paris Agreement on Climate Change](#) is a perfect example of the narcissistic, short-sightedness of the American government, their business community, and their people in efforts to maintain a privileged position in the world—at the expense, and detriment, of the other 7 billion people who inhabit the planet. The paradigm that the USA in collusion with the IMF, World Bank, UN, etc. is promoting globally is a 'Rat-Race' towards excessive consumerism and irresponsible spending that will continue to increase global warming exponentially, and assure the demise of the Planet and its ecological balance. This is why Trump's Administration is promoting the 'faulty reality' that global-warming is a 'hoax.'

Another high-level, economist within the Fund, with whom I take exception, is Director of Research, **Olivier Blanchard**, and his analysis of global economic problems and crises—highlighted in his response to my question in an IMF Intranet blog in '10, in which he explained to IMF personnel why financial markets collapsed in '08. I whole-heartedly agreed with Blanchard's explanation of what happened at the time, as I had come to the same conclusion in my research and analysis of real estate bubbles and currency fluctuations in Europe and South America in '07. However, I did not agree with Blanchard's response to my 'comment' on his blog, which asked him whether or not the '08 collapse was not just part of a greater trend within banking systems and financial markets which have become increasingly risky since the '70s. Blanchard's response was "No, each financial crisis is an 'isolated incident' and one has nothing to do with the other" (or to the effect).

What Blanchard fails to understand, is that while the instruments used by financiers may have been different in the past 5 decades, ‘The Game’ they have been playing is essentially the same; using the same tactics, scams, and unethical behavior each time around. The IMF at present is even working in collusion with one of the original ‘players’ who promoted high/yield, high/risk investments within banking systems and amongst investors in the ‘70s (and since), Mike Milken, and his Mike Milken Institute (see my blog [Evil Step-Mothers in the IMF Are Supporting the Penn Ave Quadrant Mafia \(IMF/WB/IFC/GWU\): Observations of a CSO Rep at IMF/WB Spring Mtgs – Part 27](#)). One of the main reasons savings accounts, CDs, money markets, etc. are paying next to nothing in interest’s rates, is that ALL of the investment money is being funneled into the hands of a few high-risk, investors (hedge-funds, capital venturists, etc.) who are failing to research the needs of their markets, as well as viability of investments before investing. Everyone, even pension funds, are invested in high-risk, and irresponsible, investments.

The next area of my contention with Upper Management in the IMF, is with the Director of its Institute for Capacity Development (ICD), **Sharmini Coorey**. Her failure to effectively understand the challenges of societies and economies in high, as well as middle and low-income countries, is rendering ICD, and the IMF, complicit to the exportation and perpetuation of the dysfunctional socio-economic model of the ‘[Fractured-Fairytale American Dream](#)’ to economies around the world. Coorey has some intelligent and well-prepared economists within her department, who, if were not being hampered by her lack of leadership and management skills, along with harassed, harangued and bullied by highly incompetent “old-guard” administrative staff and HR personnel (namely Adrienne Thapa, Elizabeth Elliott, Gina Paone, Ana Daie, and Kate Philips), would render ICD more effective in developing training programs, technical assistance, and capacity development initiatives for member countries of the IMF. At present, ICD courses are assisting in the propagation of a highly dysfunctional and predatory socio-economic model, **which is not based on free-markets or Keynesian economics, but rather industrial feudalism and imperialism** (see my blogs [Evil Step-Mothers in the IMF Are Supporting the Penn Ave Quadrant Mafia \(IMF/WB/IFC/GWU\): Observations of a CSO Rep at IMF/WB Spring Mtgs – Part 27](#) and [Truth & Justice vs. “Hanky-panky” in the IMF: Observations of a CSO Rep at IMF/WB Spring Mtgs – Part 31](#)).

ICD training programs and technical assistance should focus on assuring accountability, transparency, and governance of civil servants in finance ministries, rather than producing ‘window-dressings’ programs that cover-up rampant negligence and corruption in finance ministries (and government agencies in general) around the world. ICD programs and technical assistance, espouses nothing more than empty-rhetoric to civil servants, **who are as deficient as IMF staff in understanding how to transform their progressive ‘Rhetoric to Reality.’**

I have been hearing complaints about, and been observing (first-hand), the rampant bullying in the IMF since my employment in the IMF Family Association (IMFFA, 2009-11), as well as during my 5-years in departments throughout the Fund (Monetary and Capital Markets, European, Legal (Financial Integrity Group,) External Relations, including 4-years in the Institute for Capacity Development). **Yet, IMF HR and ‘regulators’ when faced with ‘smoking-gun’ cases, just ignore and turn a blind-eye to all evidence.**

While the bullying I suffered at the ‘hands’ of Anne-Beatrix Keller (former Chair) during my tenure in the IMFFA was bad enough (particularly given the [trauma I had endured in Spain 2004-08](#)); the harassment and bullying of which I was victim during 2015, by Adrienne Thapa, newly-appointed Office Manager of ICD was untenable—particularly given the fact I was also the target of bullying in the group home in which I was residing, by another viciously jealous member of the ‘development community,’ [Chelsea Killam](#). At the time, other targets and victims of bullying in ICD, namely Jocelyn Vanderhaegan, Carla Cullati, and Yasmina Zinbi encouraged me to file an official complaint with appropriate authorities within the IMF—which I had every intention of doing, as high-lighted in my HuffPost blogs in April-Oct. 2015 ([The Right of Passage and Right to Protest: Dead and Buried in Our Nation’s Capitol, Lessons Learned in Our Nation’s Capitol and Global Governance, Bullying in the Workplace and its Consequences](#)—noting that Thapa’s bullying of me began in earnest after my ‘disobedience’ and refusal

to 'bow down to her' in April 2015, and explained in my HuffPost blog [The Right of Passage and Right to Protest: Dead and Buried in Our Nation's Capitol](#)

What staff members of ICD fail to understand, is not only how the customs, and 'culture,' of bullying in bureaucratic agencies is the motor behind the ineffectiveness, and incompetence of organizations; but also, how the narcissistic interests of employees in collecting 'paychecks-n-pensions' is the motor behind the culture of bullying in organizations, whatever the size. **While ICD (and IMF) administrative and support staff are very vocal in complaining about the bullying in their department, NO ONE wants, or has the courage, to stand-up to the bullies for fear of becoming a target, and in the process, lose their jobs, and/or be ostracized by the prevailing social order and status quo.** S.D. London in his article in The Economist, [The Warning Signs They Missed](#), (2011) provides an excellent analysis of the internal problems in the IMF and their detrimental impact to the effectiveness of the Fund, and I quote,

*LIKE most other government and multilateral agencies, not to mention economists in academia and elsewhere, the IMF entirely failed to see the global crisis coming. This is hardly news, so you might think that yesterday's internal report into the fund's performance in the period leading up to the global crisis might have little to offer (unless it tried to whitewash the fund's failure, which it doesn't).*

*Indeed, the report doesn't offer any particularly startling revelations or novel reasons for why the fund so completely failed to spot any warning signs in its regular surveillance of major economies during 2004-07. But its willingness to provide a list of the (often pretty embarrassing) things the IMF's reports said in that period still make for pretty interesting—and occasionally, cringe-inducing—reading. Here are some of my favourite bits.*

*On the American housing market:*

In the United States, for example, it did not discuss, until the crisis had already erupted, the deteriorating lending standards for mortgage financing, or adequately assess the risks and impact of a major housing price correction on financial institutions...As late as April 2006, shortly before U.S. housing prices peaked, the WEO and the GFSR explained away the rising share of non-traditional mortgages in the United States thus: "Default rates on residential mortgage loans have been low historically. Together with securitization of the mortgage market, this suggests that the impact of a slowing housing market on the financial sector is likely to be limited.

*On the overall message of the fund's flagship World Economic Outlook:*

According to the WEO, the world economic outlook was "among the rosier" in a decade (April 2004); expected to be "one of its strongest years of growth" unless events take "an awful turn" (September 2004); in the "midst of an extraordinary purple patch" (April 2006); and "strong" (September 2006); all the way up to April 2007 when the report forecast that "world growth will continue to be strong" and opined that global economic risks had declined since September 2006.

*Public pronouncements by officials were equally behind the curve:*

(A)late as August 2007, Management considered the global economic outlook to be "very favorable."...Meanwhile,...(in) July 2008...the message was that "risks of a financial tail event have eased.

*Even when some of its officials had different ideas, the fund's management seemed not to be listening. Its then chief economist, Raghuram Rajan, concluded a presentation at the annual Jackson Hole conference of central bankers in 2005 by arguing that "we should be prepared for the low probability but highly costly downturn". But the IMF now admits that:*

Despite the importance of the Economic Counsellor's position, there was no follow up on Rajan's analysis and concerns— his views did not influence the IMF's work program or even the flagship documents issued after the Jackson Hole speech.

*In 2006, the fund formed a task force to examine how it could strengthen its financial sector analysis and better integrate this into its annual economic surveillance of individual economies. The report of the task force provided some examples of best practices. Of these, the new report says that “in retrospect, (they) appear completely off the mark”.*

*Exhibit A: Iceland. The 2006 task force report said that Iceland's developments from 2003–06 “provide a useful illustration of the importance of a proper analysis of the relationships between financial markets, the financial sector, and the broad economy”. It concluded its discussion of the country by saying, “(I)n Iceland's case ... hedging behavior and generally sound balance sheets and asset-liability management made the financial system relatively robust to the recent shocks.”*

*The new report blames a number of factors for the fund's poor surveillance record. Again, these are all things that commentators have identified before, still, here they are from the horse's mouth: “a high degree of groupthink, intellectual capture, a general mindset that a major financial crisis in large advanced economies was unlikely”.*

*As the fund's economists know, incentives matter, and incentives seem to have engendered a general unwillingness to stick one's neck out and rock the boat.*

**Staff reported that incentives were geared toward conforming with prevailing IMF views. Several senior staff members felt that expressing strong contrarian views could “ruin one's career.” Thus, views tended to “gravitate toward the middle” and “our advice becomes procyclical.” Staff saw that conforming assessments were not penalized, even if proven faulty.**

*Why? One senior staff member asserted that area departments were “unduly captured by countries” that they worked on. Analytical work was geared to “justify” the authorities' policy proposals. All this was “driven by the agenda of getting on well with” country authorities.*

*Of course, there was a particular squeamishness when it came to criticising big rich countries:*

**On financial sector issues, the IMF largely relied on the assessments by the U.S., U.K., and euro area authorities, who were confident about the capacity of their respective financial sectors to absorb the shocks that could arise....Indeed, the IMF often seemed to champion the U.S. financial sector and the authorities' policies, as its views typically paralleled those of the U.S. Federal Reserve.**

*Why? Not surprisingly, political capture played a role. The report may provide cause for reflection to those who doubt that the fund's shareholding structure, with a few big economies in control, makes a difference to its staffers' recommendations and what they are willing to say.*

**Self-censorship appeared to be a significant factor even in the absence of overt political pressure. Many staff members believed that there were limits as to how critical they could be regarding the policies of the largest shareholders—that “you cannot speak truth to authorities” since “...you're owned by these governments.**

*Indeed.*

Even the business attire, and physical demeanor of ICD's Director, Coorey (head-low, and continually looking down at the ground, rarely acknowledging the presence of those around her), is exemplary of how, and why, managers are promoting and encouraging dysfunctional workplaces. Coorey is the typical ineffective manager who ‘goes along to get along,’ turns a blind-eye to the chaos her mismanagement is producing, all the while espousing progressive rhetoric to cover-up her lack of leadership, character, and integrity. In reality, it is the division chiefs and deputy directors, who with the assistance of the IMF Staff

Association (IMFSA), should be filing the complaint I have filed regarding bullying and mismanagement of Coorey and ICD's 'HR Team' (Gina Paone, Ana Daie, and Kate Phillips). The failure of these 'managers' to take action, renders them complicit to the mis-management of the entire department—and Fund. However, as high-lighted in London's article, it would be 'career-suicide' for anyone who dared to dissent—as my **wrongful termination and blacklisting from employment in the IMF, as well as attendance of the IMF/WB Meetings so dramatically demonstrate.**

Not only should Coorey, and the various directors in ICD, be examining the issues that I explore in my research and writings, in **THEIR ANALYSIS of global economic problems**. But, ICD's Strategy and Evaluation division (ICDSE), under the direction of [Robert Powell](#), instead of belittling, berating, and attempting to silence me, should be investigating the results of my research—and reading my blogs as I have often suggested to him and other economists in ICD. Powell is the quintessential arrogant, white, male-supremacist, and typical IMF manager, who is so threatened by a lowly-deposed 'trophy-wife,' who has the intelligence to expose his ineptitudes, that he openly demeans me with derogatory comments, whenever the occasion arises.

A few days ago, I crossed paths with Robert Powell, who, while agreed that the financial markets are once again on the brink of collapse, was apparently amused by the entire situation. Once again, untold millions of people are going to lose their life-savings, pensions, homes, and jobs—in large part because the IMF is failing to fulfill its mandate. However, instead of being concerned about the pain and suffering of these people, Powell is apparently entertained by their plight. Powell is an ardent supporter of Donald Trump, whose erratic behavior and policies are supporting oppressive regimes and political instability internationally, as well as advancing domestic policies which will further damage the precarious state of the American economy, and the ecology of the planet Earth. It is precisely because the IMF, and other international organizations, are inundated with directors, like Powell and Coorey (on top of Lipton, Grasso, Blanchard, Ahmed, Plant, Kochhar, etc.) that the IMF is continually failing to predict, as well as prevent, economic crisis after crisis. **While some economists at the IMF may be adept at crisis management of economies when they crash, they are woefully negligent in preventing crises from developing and escalating in the first place—as their mandate requires.**

**The reason that “it is lonely at the top” for true leaders, is that it is also “lonely at the bottom” for anyone who has the courage and integrity to challenge a corrupt, oppressive *status quo*.**

One of the main objectives of Global Expats is to challenge the dysfunctional alpha-dominance culture which permeates workplaces, as well as promote work-life balance in private and public-sector industries and professions. ICD managers, particularly the 'HR Team' and Office Manager, Adrienne Thapa, are well-familiar with my research, high-profile activism work, and efforts to develop jurisprudence in international courts challenging rampant human rights violations in USA and Europe. It stands to reason that if I am not intimidated by the seat-warmers and bullies in Spanish, American and French government agencies (of judicial, executive, and legislative branches), I will not be intimidated by bullies and 'seat-warmers' in the IMF. All of the major, and minor, actors are so secure in the knowledge that the mafia, nepotism-networks, which control the management of the Fund (and workplaces in general), are so firmly entrenched in their culture of management, that even the most-lowly member of the organization can commit the most heinous of crimes with total impunity—which they do time and time again, and why the situation constitutes [crimes against humanity](#).

**It is truly mind-boggling the number of IMF staff who have implicated themselves as accessories to criminal infractions in efforts to cover-up for the gross negligence of a 'queen-for-a-day' secretary, whose 'claim to fame' is typing 75 wpm, and has risen to her level of incompetence as Office Manager—dramatically exposing the elevated level of corruption that reigns within the IMF. The Nuremberg Trials clearly demonstrated that “I was just doing as instructed” and/or “habitual custom” is NOT a LEGITIMATE DEFENSE, for ‘public authorities’ in their participation in, or the cover-up of, human rights violations and crimes against humanity.**

In my first interview with a ‘head-hunter’ in ‘09, upon my return to the USA, and ‘The Swamp,’ she told me “I am never going [to find you a job, because anyone interviewing you will](#) be afraid that in 6 months you will have their job.” And, this has been my problem in my job search for the past 8 years. If EVERYONE in ‘The Swamp’ had been listening to me, instead of belittling and berating me, during the Obama Administration, and my return to ‘The Swamp’ (after having left in ’88), then ‘The Swamp’ would have been cleared-out of the many crocodiles, alligators, sharks, leeches, and parasites long ago—saving taxpayers (and corporate sponsored foundations) billions, if not trillions of dollars in wasted monies. I have often said “if someone could harness all of the ‘hot-air’ in Washington, then the world would be able to solve the energy crisis.” If I were the Director of any of the numerous NGOs and think tank in DC with multi-million, or multi-billion, dollar budgets, and a staff of 100s, or 1000s, of ‘experts’ under my direction, then Congress, the White House, State Department, and other federal agencies, as well as ALL of the international organizations (ie. IMF, World Bank, IFC, etc.) would be doing THEIR JOBS with diligence, integrity, and transparency. My application to the many NGOs and think tanks in DC, and failure to have been even accorded an interview, highlights and demonstrates the ‘closed networks’ and favoritism for ‘game-players’ and academicians by HR personnel and their hiring practice in the development community (with [my applications posted on my activism website.](#))

One of the jobs<sup>2</sup> for which I have applied, ‘New America’ where [Anne-Marie Slaughter is President](#), with an operating budget of \$25M and a staff of 150. Dr. Slaughter has an extremely impressive academic background and service in the public sector, as noted on her bio for New America, and I quote,

*Anne-Marie Slaughter is the president and CEO of New America, a think and action tank dedicated to renewing America in the Digital Age. She is also the Bert G. Kerstetter '66 University Professor Emerita of Politics and International Affairs at Princeton University. From 2009–2011, she served as director of policy planning for the United States Department of State, the first woman to hold that position. Upon leaving the State Department she received the Secretary’s Distinguished Service Award for her work leading the Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review, as well as meritorious service awards from USAID and the Supreme Allied Commander for Europe. Prior to her government service, Dr. Slaughter was the Dean of Princeton’s Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs from 2002–2009 and the J. Sinclair Armstrong Professor of International, Foreign, and Comparative Law at Harvard Law School from 1994-2002.*

*Dr. Slaughter has written or edited eight books, including *The Chessboard and the Web: Strategies of Connection in a Dangerous World* (2017), [Unfinished Business: Women, Men, Work, Family](#) (2015), *The Idea That Is America: Keeping Faith with Our Values in a Dangerous World* (2007), and *A New World Order* (2004), as well as over 100 scholarly articles. She was the convener and academic co-chair, with Professor John Ikenberry, of the Princeton Project on National Security, a multi-year research project aimed at developing a new, bipartisan national security strategy for the United States. In 2012 she published the article “Why Women Still Can’t Have It All,” in the Atlantic, which quickly became the most read article in the history of the magazine and helped spawn a renewed national debate on the continued obstacles to genuine full male-female equality.*

*Dr. Slaughter is a contributing editor to the Financial Times and writes a bi-monthly column for Project Syndicate. She provides frequent commentary for both mainstream and new media and curates foreign policy news for over 140,000 followers on Twitter. Foreign Policy magazine named her to their annual list of the Top 100 Global Thinkers in 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012. She received a B.A. from Princeton, an M.Phil and D.Phil in international relations from Oxford, where she was a Daniel M. Sachs Scholar, and a J.D. from Harvard. She is married to Professor Andrew Moravcsik; they live in Princeton with their two sons.*

---

<sup>2</sup> [Quenby Wilcox – Sr. Policy Analyst, Hi Educ.](#), [Quenby Wilcox – Operations and Communications Assoc.](#),

'New America' is a perfect example of a corporate sponsored 'window-dressing' NGO, over-flowing with politically correct rhetoric that under the 'leadership' of Dr. Slaughter is miserably failing to be transformed to Reality. More recently 'New America' launched a [Bretton Woods II](#) initiative, with their mission as follows,

*In April 2015, heads of the world's leading development institutions issued a joint statement calling for a paradigm shift in how the world finances social impact. They recognized that achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) would require mobilizing capital far beyond what is available through traditional development assistance and philanthropy. The Bretton Woods II initiative is helping to face this critical challenge using groundbreaking analytics, advocacy, and financial tools to channel part of the \$25 trillion controlled by long-term asset holders toward social impact...*

**It should be noted that if Bretton Woods I (aka IMF, World Bank, and UN) was being effective in fulfilling its mission, there would be no need for a Bretton Woods II.**

Another job I have applied for in the past year, and for which I am highly (and uniquely) qualified was [President of the Center for Global Development \(CGD\)](#). However, since I have not been a 'Game-player' in 'The Swamp' in past decades, I was not even accorded an interview. The job of course was given to a long-time IMF 'Game-player,' and department head [Ahmed Masood](#), with former IMF HR Director Mark Plant being awarded a position as Visiting Fellow in CGD. CGD's is another perfect example of 'window-dressing' initiatives in 'The Swamp,' which exist to provide paychecks-n-pensions for IMF, World Bank, etc. 'Game-players' once they retire, noting that the mission of CDG is as follows,

*We work to change the policies and practices of rich countries and powerful institutions to reduce global poverty and inequality. We are a policy crucible, where world class scholars use independent, rigorous research to develop new knowledge and practical solutions. Through creative communications and outreach we engage with policymakers, practitioners, thought leaders, media and engaged citizens to turn ideas into action. Learn about how we got started below....*

#### *Our Experts*

*CGD's resident research fellows are world class experts in their fields, combining academic rigor and practical experience across a huge range of areas that affect development.*

#### *Where we came from*

*CGD was founded in November 2001 by Edward Scott Jr., C. Fred Bergsten, and Nancy Birdsall. A technology entrepreneur, philanthropist, and former senior US government official, Ed Scott provided the vision and a significant financial commitment that made the creation of the Center possible. Fred Bergsten, the director of the Peterson Institute for International Economics, lent his formidable reputation in academic and policy circles and provided the fledgling Center with a roof and logistical support within the Peterson Institute for the Center's initial months of operation. Nancy Birdsall, a former head of the World Bank research department and executive vice president of the Inter-American Development Bank, became CGD's first president. Her intellectual leadership and rare combination of being both hard-headed and soft-hearted about development attracted a cadre of researchers and other professionals who are deeply dedicated to CGD's mission.*

*CGD's three founders perceived a growing need for independent research to generate practical, creative solutions to the challenges that global interdependence poses to the developing countries, starting with debt. *Delivering on Debt Relief: From IMF Gold to a New Aid Architecture* (CGD, 2004), by Birdsall and John Williamson, a senior fellow at the Peterson Institute, was the Center's first book.*

*CGD moved to its current location in 2014. Previously we lived on Massachusetts Avenue, Washington's 'think tank row'. In 2011 we established CGD Europe, based in London, to help bring CGD's unique blend of evidence-led, practical research to policy, development, research and finance communities in Europe.*

Additionally, in my job search in 'The Swamp' in the past year I have applied for [various positions in the IMF](#); one of these, for which, I am **highly, and uniquely qualified**, is [Results-Based Management \(RBM\) Project Officer in ICDSE](#) due to my extensive and ground-breaking work in **application of human rights standards to real live situations in the world in a wide-variety of domains**. Another position for which I applied, and **am highly and uniquely qualified** was [Senior Personnel Manager \(SPM\) of ICD](#). Not only have I demonstrated in my blogs of the past year, my superior understanding of EFFECTIVE 'capacity development' in promoting stable and healthy economies, but also my elevated ability to criticize adversaries, as well as allies, **without lowering myself to the personal attacks and character assassinations that is so prevalent in the American political arena—particularly Capitol Hill, in collusion with the American press**. Additionally, there is NO ONE who understands the internal management problems of ICD, and how to resolve them, as I. Additionally, I know how to maximize the effectiveness of the 'talent pool' of economists within ICD—with whom I worked for over 4 years, and well familiar with them and their work.

However, since I have been black-listed from employment within the Fund by IMF HR personnel during the past year, I have not even been accorded an interview for these positions. Robert Powell's condescending and pompous attitude towards me during my tenure in ICDSE was reminiscent of my ex-husband's (and his family) treatment of me for over 20 years. My mother (and younger sister) have been using the same tactics to bully and harass my [father](#) (a cardiologist, world re-known for his pioneer work in the treatment of thrombosis), other siblings, and myself, our entire lives; so I am very familiar and well-versed in observing, and exposing these tactics for what they are—manifestations of jealousy and insecurities of lazy, parasitic bullies in order to maintain power and control over the most intelligent and productive workers in society.

The reason my ex-husband (who 'crash-n-burned' as Director of the Treasury Department of Banco Ganadero in Colombia (2002-03), subsidiary of Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria (BBVA)) went to the most extra-ordinary lengths during our entire marriage to keep me out of the remunerated work-force, and then start a company, was due to his obsessive and truly sick efforts to cover-up the fact that I am more intelligent than he ([documented in court documents](#)). It was also done in order to disempower me, and prevent me from denouncing his money-laundering for his brother and cousin's human-trafficking and prostitution business in Spain, for the past 10-15 years (after he destroyed his career in BBVA). (Please see Family Courts in Crisis Newsletter - Feb. 2014, [Judicial Corruption, Human Rights Violations & Organized Crimes](#), which explains the logistics of mafia and money-laundering networks in Spain and Europe, as well as their relationship with those within ministries and other government agencies and professions.)

While I do not defend the actions of my ex-husband, either in the present, nor in the past. I have always recognized that CEOs and upper-management of banks and financial institutions put traders under such intense pressure to produce consistently high-yields that they 'cut-corners' and get involved in unethical and illegal practices, and 'deal-making'—destroying themselves, as well as banking systems, and financial markets, in the process. Dysfunctional banking systems are not only doing great damage to economies and societies they are supposed to be serving, but also to the majority of their employees. The only people benefiting from this dysfunctional system are the CEOs and Upper Management with 7-figure salaries and bonuses, who need to be investigated for negligence, malfeasance, and greed; instead of being very handsomely, financially remunerated for their criminal activity.

In addition to being intellectually superior to my ex-husband (and many other pompous men (and women) I have known), I have an extra-ordinary memory, and the ability to understand how all the small details of situations fit together into the 'Bigger Picture.' Additionally, I have been living and travelling around the world for the past 4 decades, examining cultures and people, and their problems from a micro, as well as

macro, perspective. Also, I have demonstrated my superior abilities in international economics, application of human rights standards, and contract law many times over, and have an unparalleled grasp of global challenges. **I am hardly the stupid idiot that everyone since my divorce has attempted to convince me (and themselves). Instead, I have demonstrated time, and time again (during my lifetime, and particularly in the past decade) that not only am I my [father's](#) daughter, and my grand-father's grand-daughter, but that I do honor and justice to others in my family-tree, notably Winston Churchill, John Paul Jones, John Dickinson, and Thomas Wilcox.**

Not only would these major historical figures, highly approve of my rhetoric, argumentation, and actions, but my work (and life) parallel some of their own. My geo-political trajectories parallel John Paul Jones, and many of my political stances parallel John Dickinson and Winston Churchill. What people fail to realize is that the fundamental way societies act, and interact through social norms and customs are all extremely familiar regardless of race, nationality, ethnic group, or even during the entire history of mankind. While human rights standards of mankind have progressively been improving over the course of history, 'evil' vs. 'good' has always been at odds, and fighting for a position of 'dominance' within social norms. Throughout history when bullying, abuses of power, and judgmental and restrictive norms, became dominant; social disorder, civil unrest, and violence have always been the result—and the present socio-economic and geo-political situation in the world, with the USA at the lead, is no different than past centuries, past generations, and past situations.

I hardly think that Mahatma Gandhi would approve, or condone, the actions, and omission of actions, of the extremely elevated number of Indian actors guilty of human rights violations in my cases, nor the role by 'Game-players' in the IMF such as Sharmini Coorey, Kochhar Kalpana, Masood Ahmed, etc., in the propagation of autocratic and exploitative government regimes. Nor would Nelson Mandela and Martin Luther King approve of the many human rights violations committed by black (particularly Afro-American women) against me in the past decade, nor their participation in producing the [New Jim Crow](#) in the USA. The damage affirmative action programs of the past 4 decades have done to societies and communities, cannot be stressed enough. The only thing affirmative action programs (disguised as 'diversity' programs) have accomplished in the alpha-male, run work-place is that they have empowered narcissistic, power-hungry minorities to bully, harass and oppress members of their communities (particularly targeting previously privileged groups). These people, and those who enable them, are perpetuating the dysfunctional paradigm, 'leaders' have been challenging for centuries starting with Mohammed, and then Jesus Christ, over 2000 years ago. Human rights movements throughout the Ages (religious or secular) have all been based on the same principles, but then corrupted by the interpretation of men (and women) in application of ideologies. This is why all the major religions and their factions are built on the same principles, but then are being used by extremists to incite and/or justify violence and abuses of power.

If policy-makers were truly interested in 'capacity building' they would be concentrating their efforts in 'cleaning-house' of all of the incompetent and lazy career civil servants and public authorities who have been seat-warming and 'game-playing'—at the expense of taxpayers—for decades and even centuries. However, **instead of addressing the problems, they, in collusion with the global mobility industry and HR personnel have been creating more and more superfluous jobs, at the expense of taxpayers; in order to give the appearance that they are dealing with issues and problems.** The quantity of money being spent on 'coaches,' 'work-shops,' 'retreats,' etc. in order to compensate for the elevated level of negligence and incompetence amongst 'experts' in the development community and the corporate world, is not only a total waste of billions, if not trillions of dollars, but reflects the extent to which HR personnel and the global mobility industry are miserably failing to do their jobs—and instead parasites off of the present dysfunctional paradigm. If HR personnel were not hiring employees who are exhibiting negligence rates of 70-90%, as well as discouraging open communication, freedom of speech, and 'outside the box thinking' with their bullying and mismanagement; they would not in turn, need to spend so much of taxpayer's monies, or corporate budgets, to 'liberalize' their employees, teaching them to think and reason—a skill they should have learned in kindergarten and primary school.

One of the fundamental problems within banking systems and financial markets is that while bankers and financiers in markets are adept at conning and scheming, they lack any kind of perception or intelligent insight about capital markets, investing or evaluating business opportunities. This is the culture Coorey, Powell, and ICD training programs, are promoting and encouraging in banking systems around the world. **It is also why the efforts of ICD staff to silence my “dissent” (by first wrongfully terminating and black-listing me from employment in the Fund, and then illegally preventing me from attending IMF/World Bank Annual and Spring meetings as a CSO Rep, see Table of Contents of Blogs attached) constitutes political persecution, and meddling in the US Presidential Elections.**

At present the FBI and the media are extremely focused on the Russian’s efforts to influence US Elections. **However, ICD and IMF HR personnel’s efforts to silence me, and cover-up for problems in American and European banking systems—favoring Donald Trump—is as, if not more news worthy, than the Russians hacking.** While Americans are out-raged over the Russian hacking and attempts to influence the outcome of US Presidential Elections (something the American government has been doing in countries around the world for centuries), their hacking did not change the outcome of the US Presidential Elections. However, **my silencing by the American and international press (in their omission of action) as well as my marginalization, ostracization, exploitation, and silencing by a variety of major and minor actors in the development community did have a profound effect on the results of the US President Election**—in favor of Trump, and to the detriment of Clinton. If IMF HR personnel (in ICD, and the HR department) were more concerned with doing their jobs with diligence, integrity, and in accordance with the mandate of the Fund; then I would have been given a ‘Voice’ within the development community in the past years—and ‘The Swamp’ would have been “drained” long ago.

For the past decade, ever since I was thrown into the ‘Wonderland of Alice,’ by the negligence and discriminatory norms of family courts, I have run the gauntlet of social, as well as entrepreneurial, ‘assistance’ programs—all to no avail. My lengthy correspondence to major, and minor, actors in ‘The Swamp’ (posted on the right-hand, side-bar of [www.warondomesticterrorism.com](http://www.warondomesticterrorism.com)) are admittedly not popular with the *status quo*—noting that they rarely are, when I denounce the corruption and abuses of power that I observe in my midst. However, I defy anyone to find fault with my presentation and examination of evidence, or in the validity of my argumentation, under the law and human rights standards. **No one denies the brilliance of my arguments—just my right to make them, because I do not have a PhD or MBA after my name,’ as well as the fact that I ‘opted-out’ of the ‘Game’ decades ago.** The failure (and refusal) of American media outlets and the press to expose the problems in banking systems and financial markets, on top of judicial systems, and cover-up by executive and legislative branches in their omission of action is GROSS NEGLIGENCE of all concerned. It is also why Clinton lost the US Presidential elections with a promise to “Keep America Great” vs. Trump’s promise to “Make America Great Again” and “Drain the Swamp.” The problem with both of these campaign promises is that ‘America’ is not “Great” at present, nor was it ever “Great,” but rather plagued with many, many problems which are becoming worse and worse with each passing decade, and century. While the US Constitution was the first in modern history, it is far from perfect.

An IMF economist, and Deputy Director in ICD, **Ralph Chami** (Lebanese national) told me, his father was a labor-rights activist, and had even spent a year hiding in the hills, as a result of his activism work. The blasé attitude of many ICD, and IMF, staff as to the systemic labor rights violations, and their cover-ups at all level of the organization, demonstrates how, and why progressive rhetoric, democratic principles, and human rights standards are not being implemented. Those throughout history who have reclaimed their rights—either through the violent over-throw of incumbent autocrats, or peaceful resistance—have never been effective in implementing change, because they are only concerned in empowering, and seeking favoritism, for the special interest group of which they belong, as well as their own personal, narcissistic interests. This is why affirmative action initiatives of the past 4 decades, promoted under the guise of ‘diversity’ programs, have been in part, responsible for the break-down in the rule of law, and systemic human rights violations by the new ‘ruling-class.’ As seen by the plight of poor, Afro-American men in the [New Jim Crow](#) (with incarceration/criminal records rates of 50% in inner-cities) even the traditionally ‘oppressed’ groups are suffering at the hands of the new oppressive rulers in

the present paradigm, with women (particularly minority women) at the helm of the oppressive forces. As women victim of legal abuse in family courts will attest, ‘the worst’ and most vicious oppressors of women and children are women judges and lawyers. The viciousness of women and their oppression of other women is exposed in Phyllis Chesler’s book [Woman’s Inhumanity of Woman](#), and demonstrates to what extent bullying networks in the UN and amongst western feminists, are being empowered by the inaction of those who have a legal, and moral obligation to act.

Both of my ex-husband’s grand-fathers were on the losing side of the [Spanish Civil War. One was an anarchist and the other was a communist](#). My ex-husband, while ready to take up arms in ‘81 during the *coup d’etat* (23-F) against the Spanish Constitution and democracy—has shown his hypocrisy, and that of his family, in their flagrant violation of the Spanish Constitution, as well as Spanish and international law. The corruption of judicial procedures during our divorce, and his involvement in human-trafficking, prostitution, and money-laundering for the past 10-15 years, demonstrate to what extent that those who pretend to defend democratic principles only do so in order to put themselves, and members of their group, in positions of power and favoritism. This is why the signing of constitutions and installation of ‘democratic’ forms of governments, as well as creation of ‘regulatory’ agencies (such as the IMF, World Bank, and UN, as well as NGOs which permeate the development community) have not transformed autocratic, imperialistic governments into democratic ones. What the *nouveau riche*, which have risen to power in the past few decades are consistently demonstrating, is that they are only transforming names, skin-color, gender, etc. of those in ‘The Game,’ but not the ‘Rules of the Game.’

Former World Bank Chief Economist and Nobel Prize winner Joseph Stiglitz, and author of [Rewriting the Rules of the American Economy](#) is a perfect example of one of the many ‘experts’ with progressive rhetoric, but one who fails to understand how to transform his rhetoric to reality—nor does he understand his moral obligation to do so. While Stiglitz was obviously a victim of manipulations of the former Chair of the IMF Family Association (IMFFA),<sup>3</sup> Anne-Beatrix Keller Semadeni, what Stiglitz fails to understand is that Keller’s employment as Director of Development with Knowledge Ecology International (KEI), (where Stiglitz is on the Board of Advisors) is a perfect example of how, and why, nepotism networks are empowering the narcissistic psychopaths and parasites in society—particularly in development communities and government organizations, (and why Hillary Clinton lost the US Presidential Elections). The Clintons and their foundation are a perfect example of how ineffective governing, in turns creates the need for ‘charitable givings’ and ‘charity work’ to cover-up for the exploitative nature of the entire matrix. Millionaires and billionaires like the Clintons, Milken, Gates, Trump, etc. who are predatory in their business dealing, but promote their ‘philanthropy’ through speeches and ‘charity-work’ of their foundations, are perfect examples of the hypocrisy of this present paradigm.

Upon my return to the USA, and Washington in ‘09 (after my flight from Spain where my chances of survival were less than ¼ of 1%, according to Amnesty International), I was employed by the IMFFA, until my wrongful, and illegal termination in February of 2011. During my tenure there, Keller found my work and ideas for IMFFA so good, she promoted them as her own within the IMF HR Department, viciously got rid of me, and ended up with a cushy-job in a local NGO (KEI). I, on the other hand have been struggling to survive in ‘The Swamp’ where labor and housing rights violations are systemic and ‘out-of-control’ with local NGOs complicit to these crimes by their omission of action and ‘ostrich-playing.’ Reports by the development community are extremely verbose about ‘marginalized’ people, but fail to appreciate their own role in marginalizing these people as well as their role in exploiting them.

While [Keller’s](#) termination from KEI (due to Stiglitz ‘embarrassment’ from my blogs, [Open Letter to Joseph Stiglitz, Time to Start “Re-writing the Rules,” Instead of “Playing the Same Old Game!” - Part 1 & 2](#)) may be a step in the right direction in holding Keller accountable for her crimes, it hardly provides reparation to me and my children for the pain and suffering [she, and all the other psychopaths implicated in my case](#), have caused, not to mention the approximate [\\$10+ billions of lost revenue to Global Expats](#) in

---

<sup>3</sup> See my [complaint for workplace bullying in the IMF and IMFFA](#)

the past decade—as provided for in the UN Resolution [Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power](#). While Keller and IMFFA may not be principles in the crimes against my children, they are accessories after the fact under penal law, as well as human rights standards. Additionally, Keller’s actions are a perfect example of the predatory and exploitative norms of those within the development community—as are Stiglitz in turning a blind-eye to these predators and their crimes.

At present DC is no different than Berlin during the rise to power of Hitler, and Nazism leading up to WWII. Stiglitz has been afforded the opportunity to examine a perfect case study of how the ‘Old Rules of the Game’ are destructive to a well-functioning workplace, community, and economy, but is failing to seize that opportunity. If Stiglitz were truly interested in ‘Rewriting the Rules’ of a very dysfunctional ‘Game,’ then he would examine how, and why, the break-down in the rule of law, and erosion of rights, has occurred in the USA, as well as in Europe, and globally in the past 5 decades. While Stiglitz is correct in many of his observation in his book, [The Euro: How a Common Currency Threatens the Future of Europe](#), particularly that the euro has failed due to a lack of ‘good’ institutions. What he fails to understand is that the ‘solution’ lie in assuring transparency, accountability, and good governance of institutions (through capacity building initiatives of the IMF, World Bank, UN, IADB, IFC, etc.), **rather than a flexible euro. A ‘flexible’ euro would only serve to elevate the chaos and dysfunctionality of European economies and societies.**

One of the most useful courses I took in university was ‘Commercial Law,’ in which I learned the basics of contract law, as well as torts and writs. What I learned in that course has assisted me in avoiding litigation with con-artists and scammers for the past 40 years, as well as collect evidence for international courts as to rampant violations under civil, penal and human rights law during the past 10 years.

Before my divorce, I had never been in a court-room, nor had anything to do with lawyers, except rubbing shoulders with them at cocktail and dinner parties. However, what I have learned in the past decade is to what extent lawyers and judges have NO understanding of basic legal principles, nor human rights standards, and therefore are complicit to systemic criminal activity in court systems. In 1970, the American Bar Association published the [Clark Report](#), which documented a 70% negligence rate amongst lawyers in the USA. Since that time not only has the American government done nothing to address the underlying problems, but law schools have flooded markets with unethical and criminally negligent lawyers. Unfortunately, judicial, legislative and executive branch civil servants and public authorities are turning a blind-eye to the elevated level of criminality in judicial systems that have been produced.

The legal profession in turn, which claims to be “self-regulating,” is complicit to the entire situation by their omission of actions, and failure to report legal malpractice by colleagues to appropriate authorities, whenever and wherever they occur. [Innocence Projects](#) throughout the USA, have documented 70+% “failure” rates within penal court systems, while the same 70-90% “negligence” and “discrimination” rates within family courts have been documented by domestic violence NGOs, bar associations, and academicians (see [Are “Good Enough” Parents Losing Custody to Abusive Ex-Partners?](#), [The Hague Convention Domestic Violence Project](#) and [Family Courts in Crisis on my activism website, War on Domestic Terrorism](#)). And, in my recent case against DC Landlord/tenant court, I have documented the same games and human rights violations of judicial actors, as I have tracked and documented in other court systems. There is a crisis within the legal community which has NOT been addressed by them, nor government agencies in the past 5 decades, and why judicial systems are COMPLETELY BROKEN **and wreaking havoc on the communities and societies they have the legal obligation to serve.** This is the root cause of the rise of extremism, civil unrest and terrorism in societies around the world. (See Laura Nader’s book, [The Life of Law](#).)

At present, [my cases](#) against the Spanish, American and French governments for human rights violations under their ‘Obligation to Protect’ are “parked” with the pro bono departments of Baltasar Garzon’s [International Legal Office for Cooperation and Development \(ILOCAD\)](#), and DC law firms [Squire, Patton Boggs](#), and [Steptoe and Johnson](#), where former [Senator J. Bennett Johnston](#) and lobbyist (and my former employer, ’81-’85) is a “strategic partner.” While all the ‘experts’ agree that my legal

argumentation and understanding of national and international law is ‘impeccable,’ NO ONE is willing to submit cases to the international courts without charging me thousands, if not millions, of dollars in legal fees to do so. Additionally, [Dee Martin](#) (board member of the Feminist Majority Foundation (FMF) and founding partner of Policy Resolution Group), while agrees that my case against the US State Department, for its omission of action, (and [ensuing request of the American Abroad Caucus](#) (co-founded by Congresswoman Carolyn Maloney) for an Over-sight Hearing of the State Department) **is impeccable in its legal basis and argumentation.** However, she requires \$20,000 as retainer fee, and untold thousands, or millions more to lobby Congress—which I do not have because I keep being ‘marginalized’ and ‘exploited’ by everyone in the system. When I suggested that FMF could take on the issues and ‘foot the bill,’ she responded that FMF is too busy ‘assisting’ women in Afghanistan (to concern themselves with ‘Western’ women).

My case against the Spanish government, explained in [my complaint to the Spanish Defensor del Pueblo](#) as well as the [Colegio de Abogados de Madrid](#) (which ridiculously contends that it is the Right of lawyers to violate the rights of their clients under the principle of judicial independence), I challenge their defense in the 2<sup>nd</sup> jurisprudence of Violence Against Women as Human Rights Violations (VAWasHRV) [Gonzalez Carreno vs. Spain](#), 2014, CEDAW, which contended “judicial error and a failure to exhaust domestic remedies.” And, my case against the American government challenges their defense in the 1<sup>st</sup> jurisprudence of VAWasHRV [Gonzales Lenahan vs. USA](#), 2011, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, which contended that the American government does not have “control or jurisdiction” over courts and judicial system at the state level. What I demonstrate in my case against the Spanish government is that “judicial errors” are not “errors” but rather intentional manipulations of misogynistic, incompetent, and greedy lawyers designed to intimidate and exploit women and children, as well as men and families. And, what I demonstrate in my case against the American government is that, if the American government does not have ‘control’ or ‘jurisdiction’ over its courts at the state level, nor that they have an ‘Obligation to Protect’ American women and children (as they contend) then their *raison d’etre* ceases to exist. What also ceases to exist is the legitimacy of the American government under its Constitution and Bill of Rights.

My grand-father was the 1<sup>st</sup> white lawyer to successfully defend a black-man in the Deep South in 1910, during [Jim Crow](#), with [Congressman Taylor](#) so impressed with his argumentation that he brought him to Washington, DC to work in intelligence work for the American government, before and, during WWI (under General MacArthur). Additionally, my family tree includes Winston Churchill, [John Paul Jones](#) (US Naval Commander during American Revolution), [John Dickinson](#) (‘Penman’ of the American Revolution), and goes back to the origins of the Puritan movement, with Thomas Wilcox, co-author of the Puritan Manifesto (1572), which gave genesis to the modern human rights movement. If I were a man with this kind of lineage, all of the ‘experts’ in the past decade would be bowing-n-scraping to me, instead of looking down their noses at me.

The assumption of lawyers, that I am a stupid, deposed ‘trophy-wife’ that knows NOTHING, and that they know EVERYTHING, is as erroneous as the contention of economists and policy-makers in the IMF and World Bank, as well as politicians and staffers on Capitol Hill, White House and State Department; **that I am a stupid idiot.** One of the most intelligent people I know is my father. However, what has always impressed me with him, was not just his wealth of knowledge, but his humility and concern for his fellow man—no matter how lowly on the social ladder he (or she) might be. The quantity of ‘experts’ in ‘The Swamp’ who believe they know ‘EVERYTHING,’ when they know and understand ‘NOTHING,’ is incredible, and why Donald Trump won the US Presidential Elections with a promise to “drain the Swamp.” It is also why an independent, Emmanuel Macron, won the French Elections with a campaign slogan of “En Marche”—in a ‘Swamp’ on the other side of ‘The Pond.’

Washington is literally over-flowing with NGOs, think tanks, and pro bono departments of law firms, however, all of their litigation and initiatives are peace-meal and arbitrary—seeking monetary awards for a few select victims, rather than jurisprudence that promotes the rights of general populations, and democratic procedures. I have lawsuits galore against IMF, IMFFA, Global Employment (technically my

employer during my tenure in IMFFA), several restaurants and landlords in DC, all of which can set important jurisprudence within international and national courts, but I cannot find NGOs and lawyers with the courage, integrity, and honor to submit the cases to the courts. Baltasar Garzon's NGO, [FIBGAR](#), is the perfect example of an NGO producing a multitude of politically correct rhetoric, but refusing to "put their money where they mouth is." Spanish courts are using the same norms, rules, and games as those used under Franco's dictatorship, creating the same human rights violations (albeit targeting different populations) as those committed during Franco, with FIBGAR (and [Women's Link Worldwide](#)) complicit to these violations by their omission of action. Garzon is calling for an investigation into crimes committed during Franco's regime, but is turning a blind-eye to the same criminal offenses being perpetrated by 'state actors' under the present 'democratic' government.

[Ingrid Betancourt's](#) rise to power in Colombia (where I lived, 1997-2003), and Presidential campaign to fight corruption and negotiation for Peace with Colombian guerrillas (FARC, ELN, etc.), was based on the same type of political promises of 'independents' like Macron (and even Trump). And, while Betancourt's rise to power in Colombia was admirable, and a perfect example of what a very determined woman is capable of accomplishing, she had very little chance of winning the 2002 Elections. Unfortunately, her rise to power and "voice" (anti-corruption and Peace) within the Colombian political arena was a 'nuisance' to the powers that be in Colombia, and why she was kidnapped and held by the FARC—until her liberation by Colombian forces in '08. What is important to note here is that the problems of middle, high, and even low-income countries are all fundamentally the same. **First, morally, there is no difference between the illegal drug-trade and the pharmaceutical industry—in terms of cause and effect on populations, as well as the greed-mongering motivations of principle actors and their accessories.**

Unfortunately, the drug production in Colombia has not stopped—even after almost 20 years of the American government's policy, '[Plan Colombia](#)'—which has focused on eradicating cultivation of coca leaves by pesticide spraying, and combating drug production and smuggling through military aid. What [Betancourt](#) and [Baltasar Garzon](#) fail to realize in their negotiations with guerrilla FARC leaders, is to what extent the willingness of the FARC to negotiate is motivated by their desire for conditions conducive to commercial development and international trade (of drugs and arms)—more than any noble cause for Peace. The 'drug- problem' has not, and will not, disappeared because it keeps on moving production from one country to another, instead of focusing on the motor behind the rising production—the rising consumption in the USA, and more recently Europe. When I moved to Europe in the late '80s, all the Europeans were quick to point to the socio-economic problems of the USA (greed, avarice, superficiality, immorality, substance abuse, obesity, etc.), but always failed to recognize, or acknowledge, that they were on the same dysfunctional path of 'modernization' and 'urbanization' that the 'Fractured Fairytale American Dream' was producing.

The bulk of cocaine production in American markets today is being exported to, and distributed in, the USA, by Mexican drug-lords and cartels—which has become the new 'problematic' country in Latin America, and responsible for the escalation of violence and criminality in that country during the past decade. Distribution of Colombian drug-production has just being re-routed through Mexico's distribution systems. The fact that bankruptcy-building Donald Trump won the US Elections with a promise to build a 'Wall' (which Mexican taxpayers would pay for in order to keep the 'undesirables' from crossing over into the USA), demonstrates the extent to which the American public, as well as policy-makers, are in total denial as to the root causes of socio-economic, as well as geo-political, problems. Sean Penn, with his article, "[El Chapo Speaks](#)," in The Rolling Stones Magazine over a year ago, very nobly attempted to inject a discourse into the US Presidential Elections, which would have high-lighted the culpability of the American people to the "drug-problem" and escalation of violence in Mexico.

Unfortunately, as I have seen, and documented for the past decade, the American press and journalists are more adept at bullying, berating and silencing anyone who questions the *status quo*, than investigating and reporting News. 'Fake news' has become such a problem in the American press because American

journalists are more concerned with their pocket-books and “getting published” than upholding integrity, responsible journalism, and the Right to Truth—and why I responded to the American press’s bullying of Sean Penn with my blogs, [El Chapo, Sean Penn and the Failed War on Drugs – Part 1, 2 & 3](#), [Human Rights, War on Drugs, and Sean Penn on Complicity—Part 1, 2 & 3](#), [Mexican Drug Trade: A Look into the Future by Looking at Colombia’s Past—Part 1, 2 & 3](#), [Outlaw Heroes, Drug Lords, and International Trade—Part 1, 2 & 3](#), and [Reclaiming Democracy: The First Step Towards Peace—Part 1, 2 & 3](#).

In the late ‘90s, members of the US Congress traveled to Colombia, in order to examine the pros and cons of ‘Plan Colombia.’ During their visit, US State Department officials gave a presentation (which I attended) to the American expat community in Bogota, at the American Embassy, detailing their ‘Plan Colombia.’ At the end of the presentation I stood-up and asked the ever-present question, “it is all well and good to get rid of drug production. However, as the Colombians say, and rightfully so, without getting rid of drug consumption at the same time—the problem just ‘moves’ around from country to country. So what is the American government doing to reduce drug consumption in the USA?” The US State Department civil servant—in all his stupidity—said to me (in front of the entire American expat community), “what do you care lady, as long as we get rid of it here.” I bit my tongue on my retorque of “That is the stupidest thing I have ever heard! I am sick of seeing messed-up kids, being brought-up by messed-up parents—with everyone needing their drugs of choice (illegal and legal) to survive.” Additionally, at the time, the State Department official claimed that the pesticides being used were only nocive to coca plants, but not other plants and crops of the region, nor animals, livestock or humans—a contention I did not “buy” then, nor do I “buy” it now. The predatory, exploitative policies of the American government and State Department is truly grotesque—**AND MUST CEASE AND DESIST.**

One of my sisters has lived in Colombia for over 25 years, and lived through the “eradication” of the drug cartels, followed by the rise of the guerrilla presence and their over-taking of the drug-production in order to pay for weapons and arms—recently telling me that US State Department and Embassy personnel in Bogota are getting stupider and stupider. I concur with her assessment of State Department personnel’s stupidity, as I have been grappling with the same stupidity of State Department personnel in Washington (particularly Consular Affairs) for over a decade. (This rampant stupidity is why Trump won the US Elections with a promise to “drain the Swamp”—a promise he is NOT keeping.) Also, apart from observing all the cocaine snorting by Washington elite while living in DC in the ‘80s, I lived in Miami in ‘89, and observed the consequence of the lawlessness and violence caused by the drug-trade, and ‘War on Drugs’—as explained in the documentary, [Cocaine Cowboys](#). The level of incompetence of State Department personnel, is only matched by the incompetence of personnel in the White House, US Congress, along with international agencies in DC, such as the IMF, World Bank, IADB, IFC, and their ‘sister’ organizations—the think tanks and NGOs, which permeate ‘The Swamp.’

Amongst the many ‘experts’ (who lack ‘Big Picture’ thinking capabilities), and with whom I have rubbed shoulders in the past 40 years, was former Ambassador to Colombia [Anne Patterson’s](#) husband, David R. Patterson—a retired foreign service agent and ‘expert’ in Sunni and Shia relations. During Anne Patterson’s tenure in Colombia, I attended a conference given by her husband explaining the problems between the two groups. And, while the information Patterson provided was very informative from a historical perspective, it provided little insight into possible solutions. At the end of the presentation I stood-up and asked the ever-present question—whether these two groups could learn to live together in Peace. Later that morning, I crossed path with someone at my gym who had also attended the conference, and she told me “the most interesting part of the conference was your question?” What Patterson, and others in the State Department, US government, and development community fail to understand is the extent to which subversive activity and war-mongering policies of the US government and State Department are often the motor behind the development of ‘conflict zones’ in countries around the world (including the USA.) **The reason that everyone in ‘Power’ are continually trying to silence me, through economic sanctions, or defamation of character campaigns, is that they do not like the fact that I am willing, and able, to ask the hard questions they should be asking and examining, but do not have the courage, integrity, or intelligence to ask.**

The other day I crossed paths with **Gina Paone, who instead of under investigation for negligence, participation and encouragement of bullying and abuses of power in the workplace, and her mismanagement and misuse of funds, as Senior Personnel Manager (SPM) of ICD, has been promoted to Division Chief of Talent Acquisition and Operations in the IMF.** Her suggestions of ‘appeasement,’ and more ‘ostrich-playing’ to the dire state of affairs in the political arena in the USA and Europe, is reminiscent of the calls for appeasement to Hitler and Nazism in events leading up to WWII. While I agree that citizens must continue to reclaim their rights peacefully, and call for governance, accountability, and transparency of government agencies and authorities, in an orderly manner. They should NOT, as Paone suggests, be complacent (and thereby complicit) to the crimes, and criminal intent, of public authorities and civil servants by “going along to get along,” while silencing any dissent. Please see my correspondence to [Secretary of State Tillerson](#), [Attorney General Sessions](#), [former National Security Advisor Flynn](#), [Senator McCain](#), [Senator Warren](#), and [Congresswoman Holmes Norton](#), in which I inform them as to issues under their purview, as well as actions needed at present by them in their official capacities. While I have called for a dissolution of the US government and formation of a new one, I am willing to give the Trump Administration the opportunity to demonstrate its good-faith in fulfilling its campaign promise to “drain The Swamp.’ Unfortunately, their failure to act, in the traditions of previous Administrations, provides further evidence of the illegitimacy of the American government at present under the US Constitution, Bill of Rights, and international conventions to which the US is signatory.

As promised in the days preceding the US Presidential Elections, if Trump won, I would call for a **dissolution** (not violent over-throw—as some ‘cackling-hen’ activists have contended) of the American government, and creation of a new one with a new Constitution, using the Spanish Constitution, and legal codes as a model (see my blog [Cost of Corruption: Open Letter to President, Barak Obama, 9/11 was the 1st Worst Day in America, 11/9 Was the 2nd Worst Day!](#)). I have meticulously been examining the Spanish Constitution, and its legal code for the past decade, and it is exemplary in its construct. Unfortunately, the legal community (particularly the Spanish one) is totally negligent in their ability to utilize these legal instruments in advancing the rights of men, women, and children. [John Dickinson](#), and other Founding Fathers, would have also greatly applauded the Spanish Constitution, and its impeccable constructive; but would have been appalled by the complete and utter failure of EVERYONE to have even a minimal idea of how to utilize this almost utopian system in defending and advancing the rights of ALL citizens, regardless to race, creed, gender, or age.

As seen in the first 100 days of Trump’s Administration, and appointments to his Cabinet, Trump is adding to the crocodiles, alligators, sharks, leeches, and parasites in ‘The Swamp’ instead of “draining” them from it. The recipients of my [correspondence](#) in the State Department, White House and US Congress of the past decade provide an extensive list of civil servants and public authorities in the US government who need to be ‘drained’ from their respective agencies, with [Under-Secretary of Management Kennedy](#) and [Ambassador Melanne Vermeer](#) amongst those at the top of the list. However, the present Administration is as inept as previous Administrations in understanding the challenges the USA, and world are facing and how to ‘fix’ them.

Another ‘person of interest’ in the IMF, is the Division Chief of ICD’s Global Partnership division (ICDGP), [Mark White Lewis](#), who is also ‘playing ostrich’ to the dire state of affairs of economies in Europe, the USA, and elsewhere, as it is ICDGP (with a staff of 30 employees) which is responsible for procuring partnerships and funding for ICD programs. As previously stated, due to the ostrich-playing of Coorey and Powell, ICD is failing to produce training programs and technical assistance which assures transparency, accountability and good governance in finance ministries around the world, while ICDGP is providing the funding (and is therefore the motor) for the programs of ICD. The dysfunctional socio-economic model that the IMF, and ICD in particular, is propagating, is as exploitative and predatory as those involved in illegal human-trafficking, prostitution, drug-trafficking, etc. **From a moral perspective, the efforts of ICDGP in financing training programs which fail to challenge the lack of**

**diligence and rampant corruption in finance ministries is no different from the money-laundering of my ex-husband for his family's human-trafficking and prostitution rings.**

Lewis was previously Resident Rep for Turkey, and [IMF mission chief in Cyprus](#). Please see my blog [Open Letter to Special 'Ethics' Investigator at the IMF Sabina Blaskovic – Part 6](#), which examines a case-study of Turkey in the past decade and its dysfunctional trajectory. I observed the same trends in Spain, and South America in the past 4 decades, as those covered in IMF Working Papers on Turkey since 2010. I am a great proponent of the EU and the euro. And, for decades, I have had hopes that Turkey would enter the EU as its first Muslim member, and be able 'bridge' the divide between Europe and the Muslim world—with the hope that the USA would follow suit. At present, not only is the EU falling apart, but the survival of the euro is at risk, along with any semblance of democracy in the region—fueling extremism and violence. It is high time that those within the IMF start asking themselves why activists and civil society organizations are angry with them, rather than attempting to ignore and silence these groups and individuals. When financial markets collapse once again, with the US stock market taking the lead, citizens around the world will be throwing much more than eggs at economists and policy-makers in the IMF and World Bank. Please see the youtube video of [Turkish students throwing eggs at Mark Lewis in 2011](#), along with comments posted under the video suggesting that “grenades” and “axes” be thrown, instead of eggs.

One of the possible scenarios of the coming years that particularly concerns me, is predictions by [Dr. Steve Sjuggerud](#). He like many former hedge fund managers, and independent financial gurus, has examined historical trends of financial and economic bubbles, and their bursting—rather than considering these 'isolated' incidents, like Olivier Blanchard. Stuggerud is predicting that the Dow Jones will climb to over 40 or 50,000 before completely collapsing, due to an injection of money into stock markets by central banks; who according to the Wall Street Journal article, [Central Banks Ratchet Up Foreign-Currency Reserves](#) “are increasing foreign-currency reserves, highlighting the fragile underpinnings of the global economic recovery despite a bullish mood in financial markets.” **If this scenario is left to play out, then when the collapse occurs, and the Great Depression starts, extremism and violence will be impossible to contain, and the planet will have passed the 'tipping point' of global-warming and its ecological demise.**

Unfortunately, as is the case repeatedly in history, until violent mobs start looting and pillaging the homes and workplaces of those responsible for maintaining oppressive regimes and autocratic societies, those profiting from this *status quo* will continue to live in denial, and in their ivory-towers of mis-information, lies, manipulations, and deceptions. When the violence erupts, and angry oppressed people start raping the children, wives, and mistresses of those within the IMF, World Bank, UN, IFC, IADB, State Department, White House, US Congress, universities, think tanks, NGOs, etc., **I will NOT, as they have done, turn a blind-eye to the situation, nor sanction and condone the crimes being committed against them, through my omission of action.**

At present, I am in *déjà vue* mode with IMF economists and my international econ.101 course at GWU in '85 with professor [Robert Dunn](#), who complicated his subject matter so much none of his students could follow him. When I signed up for the course, a friend told me to do a 'drop-add' because “even the econ majors failed at least once.” Not only did I receive a 98% on the mid-term, but I tutored my class-mates, who all passed, not only the final exam, but the course as well. The belittling and berating I have received from economists, administrative staff, and HR personnel in the IMF during my 7-year tenure there, would be truly comical, if the consequences for me and my children, as well as economic and political decay in the USA and Europe, were not so dire and extreme. As 'clearly' as I 'saw' the 'Big Picture' (as well as all the details during my intl. econ. course in '85 and again my analysis of problems leading to the economic collapse in '08), I 'see' all the 'details' as well as 'Big Picture' of global economic problems, presently plaguing the planet—yet I am not even considered 'employable' as a lowly 'floater' secretary by IMF personnel.

In the last 3 months of my 4-year tenure in ICD, I worked in ICDSE during its preparation for their annual [Committee for Capacity Building \(CCB\)](#) meetings, headed by **Carla Grasso**, Managing Director

and Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) of the IMF—yet another ‘player’ in the mismanagement in the IMF. During my attendance of the many pre-CCB meetings, as well as the CCB meeting in October ‘15, I was dismayed to observe the empty-rhetoric during ‘round-table’ talks of IMF economists and directors. I was also dismayed to note that the “analysis” of all of these ‘important’ people, amounted to nothing more than counting how many training and technical assistances programs were being distributed by ICD, rather than their effectiveness under RBM and human rights standards—which I cover in my analysis and [blogs on LinkedIn](#).

The recently appointed Secretary General of the UN, Antonio Guterres (a Portuguese national) is very vocal about combating sexual exploitation and abuse, with a policy of “zero tolerance” in the UN. However, abuses of power within the UN, along with its cover-up by, and amongst, its employees are, as bad, if not worse than in the IMF or World Bank. And, the recent promise of the UN for ‘[new approaches to stamp out sexual exploitation and abuses](#)’, is yet another example of ‘window-dressing’ initiatives that are nothing more than cover-ups for abuses of power at every level. Please see my blog [Cost of Corruption: Open Letter to Harriet Fulbright of the Harriet Fulbright Institute](#), which exposes the intellectual property theft and rape of well-known, feminist Phylliss Chesler while at the UN, and its cover-up by UN personnel. Unfortunately, within the present paradigm cases such as Chesler’s are all too common, with management, at all levels playing the same games that I have documented in the IMF, and as seen in the Chesler’s case, with UN personnel. UN Women is as negligent as gender ‘experts’ in the World Bank and IMF in understanding the challenges women face in society, as well as how to effectively ‘empower’ them—with one of its primary problems being that it is infected with old-guard, seat-warming and queen-for-a-day support staff, who are too busy ‘bowing-n-scraping’ to ‘ostrich-playing’ PhDs and MBAs, that they are as ineffective as everyone else in the development community.

The recent sentencing of former IMF Managing Director, and Spanish banker, Rodrigo Rato, and his ongoing insistence that he “did nothing wrong,” is exemplary of the culture of corruption that permeates the IMF, and bureaucratic agencies in general—and the REAL ENEMY in combating corruption. All too many managers in public and private organizations consider it ‘smart’ and their ‘right’ to pass off personal expenses, as business expense, because “they can” and “everyone is doing it.” It is this culture of corruption that has permeated the IMF, World Bank, UN and other international agencies since their inception that must be dismantled, and eradicated so that the few hard-working dedicated employees can be effective and efficient in their work. The IMF (and World Bank) possess some intelligent and dedicated economists, and professionals, who I had the pleasure of meeting during my 7-year tenure in the Fund, namely Dominique Desruelles, Ralph Chami, Robert Corker, Laura Kodres, Mark Lewis, Marc Quintyn, Gerd Schwartz, Michael Filippello, Nicolas Million, Sam Ouliaris, Evan Tanner, Delano Radgman (Institute for Capacity Development) and Jody Myers (Financial Integrity Group)—even if some are misguided by faulty assumptions and prejudices. However, the level of incompetence, laziness, lack of integrity or dedication amongst all too many other staff, particularly old-guard administrative staff and IMF HR personnel, is of crisis proportions—and must be dealt with, and held accountable for their continuing labor rights violations, as well as the chaos and havoc they are wreaking within the Fund, and consequentially in global economies.

Amongst the many harmful ‘consequences’ produced by my wrongful termination from ICD, was my prevention in participating in the *Big Data Challenge*, Christine Lagarde launched in the IMF in November 2015. The ‘gaps of knowledge’ gender ‘experts’ in the World Bank continually contend are responsible for their failure to see the ‘Big Picture,’ could easily be “filled” and “bridged” by mining information from Facebook activism pages, email ‘chats,’ and other social media platforms of activists and victims in conjunction with examination of my research. I even found a young IMF economist, Monique Newiak, willing to help me prepare a simple economic formula, and assist in putting together a presentation for the ‘Challenge’ (until she found out I was leaving the Fund). The ‘gaps’ of knowledge of feminists only exist, because most PhD gender ‘experts’ are more concerned with their paychecks-n-pensions, and grappling up the ladder of success, than advancing the rights of women (and children), as well as providing solutions to the problems which plague the planet—as the case in point dramatically

high-lights (see my blog [Marginalization & Exploitation of the Marginalized in “This Town”](#): [Observations of a CSO Rep at IMF/WB Spring Mtgs – Part 40](#)).

One of the reasons that women have been traditionally kept out of the remunerated work-force is because of their innate narcissism and egoism. While these traits assure their elevated concern for the basic needs of their off-spring; they also prevent them from being concerned about well-functioning economies and societies, from a macro-perspective. Men on the other hand, who it is demonstrated have a propensity to spend disposable income on gambling, substance abuse, and prostitution (in their innate narcissism and egoism), have an elevated concern for community and commercial interests of society, to the detriment of women, children and family interests. If true gender-equality, and equality for all, is to be assured, it is imperative that women are able to understand the importance of macro issues in economics, politics, and commerce, while men must learn to comprehend the importance of equality within the family, home and marriage—respecting and valuing the work women do in those three domains.

Western feminists of the past 50 years have only reinforced already discriminatory, and derogatory social norms, which contend that the role women play as wives and mothers, and the work they do, is not ‘important.’ The UN report, [Indepth Study of All Forms of Violence Against Women](#), clearly demonstrates that it is women in societies who are responsible for maintaining the customs of violence (and corruption) within communities, and their report, [Good Practices in Combating and Eliminating Discrimination Against Women](#), demonstrates the importance of including ‘victims’ in ‘the process’ of developing solutions—a practice which is not effectively being done in any arena. **As Desmond Tutu stated, “if you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” The ‘cheer-leading/sear-warming,’ customs and cultures within government and pseudo-government agencies, as well as NGOs must CEASE AND DESIST.**

Much of my work within the feminist arena is challenging the alpha/dominance construct of western feminists, building on the work of Betty Friedan in her book *The Second Stage* (1981). Friedan’s first book, *The Feminist Mystique* (1963) launched the modern-day western feminist movement. But, the western feminists in the USA, and Europe, warped the original message of Friedan with their alpha/dominance ideologies, rendering women in society more oppressed than before. As one woman from Africa highlighted in the IMF/World Bank Spring Meetings 2015, western feminism (which is being ‘exported’ to countries around the world by NGOs such as FMF, Women’s Link Worldwide, etc.), is rendering women slaves in the dysfunctional, and oppressive paradigm feminists have developed, and which they are force feeding onto unsuspecting populations in Africa, the Middle East, Asia and Latin America. My work also focuses on the implementation of the work of [Riane Eisler](#)—who has laid the foundation for the paradigm shift leaders in the World Bank and UN are calling for, but which lacks implementation, by Eisler and her followers.

For the past decade, all I have been able to do in my quest for **Truth, Integrity, and Justice** is document the legal obligation of officials to act, their bad-faith in their failure to act, as well as the consequence for their victims—in the hope, someday I would find someone in the system with a minimum of integrity and honor. **I hope that in contacting you, and informing you as to the ideological, as well internal management problems, within the IMF, World Bank (and UN), you will launch a REAL investigation and take appropriate action, as well as assure transparency and accountability within these organizations as is in your power, as well as legal obligation, to do.** The world is literally at the cross-roads of humanity, and the actions, or inactions, you take at present in changing its dysfunctional trajectory, will be instrumental in deciding the survival of humankind, as well as the planet Earth. If man does not destroy himself through violent conflict, he will do so in the destruction of the ecological balance on Earth. **It is now, or the world will be damned, if leaders like yourselves do NOT take the LEAD in challenging the dysfunctional paradigm that reigns at present—and which the IMF, World Bank, and UN are actively promoting and propagating.**

Thank you in advance for you time and consideration.

Sincerely,



---

<sup>1</sup> *The IMF is an independent international organization. It is a cooperative of 185 member countries, whose objective is to promote world economic stability and growth. The member countries are the shareholders of the cooperative, providing the capital of the IMF through quota subscriptions. [ ] In return, the IMF provides its members with macroeconomic policy advice, financing in times of balance of payments need, and technical assistance and training to improve national economic management....*

*... Surveillance over Members' Economic Policies*

*In becoming members of the IMF, countries agree to pursue economic policies that are consistent with the objectives of the IMF. The Articles of Agreement confer on the IMF the legal authority to **oversee compliance by members** with this obligation, making the IMF "the only organization that has a mandate to examine on a regular basis the economic **circumstances of virtually every country in the world.**" ...*

*Role of Capacity Building Technical Assistance*

*Technical assistance is a crucial aspect of the IMF's operations and helps members in strengthening **their policy formulation and implementation**, and the legal, institutional, and market frameworks within which they operate. It also constitutes an important complement to **IMF surveillance and lending operations in member countries**. In surveillance and lending operations, IMF staff work with country authorities to identify **the policies and reforms required to correct particular macroeconomic and structural problems**. Technical assistance, on the other hand, focuses on the **implementation of these policies and reforms**. Thus technical assistance **enhances the effectiveness** of the IMF's surveillance and lending operations in member countries, and there is emphasis on better integrating it with these operations. In addition, by increasing the likelihood that economic programs will be fully and successfully implemented, technical assistance strengthens **members' capacity to repay the IMF and thus helps preserve the revolving character of the IMF's loan resources**.*

---

*Technical assistance is provided by the IMF to member countries mostly in the form of human resources. The human resources comprise IMF staff, headquarters-based consultants, and experts hired by the IMF, who provide their services to member countries in response to specific requests for assistance from the authorities. These requests for assistance may originate in the context of surveillance discussions or lending operations, ROSC exercises, or the work of regional technical assistance centers (RTACs). They may also stem from the authorities' own initiative to identify and correct weaknesses in policy implementation...*